

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104993
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall in the south-central Senegalese groundnut basin: Fatick and Kaolack regions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>I suggest to modify the topic as per the objectives of the study. (Remove: groundnut basin)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. Author strictly advised to remove the word 'Groundnut basin' which merged with climate change. Basins can be known as artificial and natural (ocean, river, plains atc...). Usually, we can study the climate change only with natural basins. But, here the author studied climate change with artificial basin. It should be avoided. Because, the basin can be changed at any time. So, climate change and groundnut basin can not be accepted for reasearch. But, may accept on regional and climate change. Also check the topic.</p> <p>2. Under the part of Introduction the word 'totals' has to rewrite for better meaning (14th line).</p> <p>3. Under the part of results, the interpretation for each figure and table should consist data about specific highlights. It is missing each part of interpretation.</p> <p>4. The study should include sufficient policy recommendations.</p> <p>5. Author has to revise the study for checking explanation for abbreviations and to place suitable words.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. 1. Author strictly advised to remove the word 'Groundnut basin' which merged with climate change. Basins can be known as artificial and natural (ocean, river, plains atc...). Usually, we can study the climate change only with natural basins. But, here the author studied climate change with artificial basin. It should be avoided. Because, the basin can be changed at any time. So, climate change and groundnut basin can not be accepted for reasearch. But, may accept on regional and climate change. Also check the topic.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Sadasivam
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India