

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_105518
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of Diabetes Type II Foot Complications at Kisii Teaching and Referral Hospital, Kenya
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, it is an important and practical issue, and the issue of diabetes and its care is very important and necessary - Write the title news: Investigating the characteristics of: based on a descriptive or cross-sectional study - In the abstract of the study, the working method is written very briefly - The work implementation process should be accompanied by more details - How the samples were selected and how the data was collected, what was the study tool. - In the results section, you must bring the numbers and results of the study - The importance of the subject and the study should be clearly written at the end of the introduction - Why are goals written at the end of the introduction? It should be explained in the work method in this field - The purpose should be written at the end of the introduction after the reason for conducting this study - The importance of reviewing the study by mentioning the statistics and epidemiology of the subject should be updated until 2023. - The social characteristics section should be in the heart of the introduction text and it does not need to come in a separate section after the goals and the end of the introduction - At the beginning of the procedure, you must write the type of study, then you must explain the location of the study and the sampling method - The way of writing the procedure and entry and exit criteria is not correct - The working method should be in the heart of the text, not all sections should be written with a separate title - What about patient dissatisfaction? - Is the questionnaire made by the researcher or is it standardized? - Its validity and reliability should be checked with a scientific method - Formal and content validity quantitatively and qualitatively? - There is no explanation given here? - The discussion should be checked with the results of other studies - The author's own reasons must be written - What were the limitations of this study? - Study applications? - Are the results of the study written very poorly and concisely? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Be native English speaker	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Darabi
Department, University & Country	Asadabad School of Medocal Sciences, Iran