

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_107360
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVEMENT OF VOTING SYSTEM THROUGH VISUAL CRYPTOGRAPHY AND MULTI-FACTOR AUTHENTICATION TO FURTHER MITIGATE CLONE PHISHING ATTACK
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. In this manuscript, to further mitigate clone phishing attacks, the author(s) improved voting systems by using visual cryptography and multi-factor authentication. From the numerical results, the developed system in this manuscript achieved good performance. Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>It is basically good. It would be better if the author(s) could review more existing work and extend the references.</p> <p>I have the following comments:</p> <p>1) Figure 1 is not fixed-level. Could you please provide a much clearer one?</p> <p>2) For the User interface, why do you replace Java with PHP? Are there any reasons?</p> <p>3) Some issues in References: The different font type is used for the journal titles of references; for example Ref. [1] vs. Ref. [2].</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is basically good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xinxing Wu
Department, University & Country	Midway University, United States