

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_107566
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumers' Preference and Attitude towards Dried Corchorus Olitorius L. in Ibadan Metropolis, Oyo State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This work is important to the scientific community. However, there are deficiencies in the content and some parts of the article. First of all, article writing should be reconsidered in a scientific language. Likewise, the findings were not sufficiently discussed with the literature findings. When these deficiencies are completed, it will contribute more to science.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract section is in journal format. Findings and recommendations should be reconsidered in journal format and article summary.</p> <p>4. Subsections of the article should be reconsidered in journal format. In particular, the introduction part should be reconsidered with the literature in line with the purpose of the research. The introduction should be revised.</p> <p>5. The manuscript should be reconsidered scientifically.</p> <p>6. The literature on the subject of the study is insufficient. More literature on the subject should be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article should be written in accordance with scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The subject of the article is original. It will contribute to scientific studies. However, The article can be published after the above mentioned deficiencies are completed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celal Cevher
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Central Researcher Institute, Turkey