

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107188
Title of the Manuscript:	Individual and Group Characteristics of Self-Help Group Members in Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, of course because the major advantage of a peer review process is that peer-reviewed article provides a trusted form of scientific community. The main focus of this study reveals the individual and group characteristics of SHGs' members in Uttarakhand state, which could help the policy makers in formulation and designing of development program.</p> <p>The title should be concise and informative. The researcher has chosen catchy title in this review. The title of this review could able to understand by the young researcher.</p> <p>Long abstracts are given but it shows overall idea like what was done, what was found and what are the main conclusions etc., Even though methodology fails to explain as well.</p> <p>In my knowledge and believe, the researcher has not followed proper structure of the manuscript even though it can be acceptable one.</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct and the researcher has focused the socio-economic factors like individual and group characteristics as well.</p> <p>References are sufficient and appropriate and followed the latest edition of APA style in referencing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the researcher has used enough English quality for scholarly communication as well.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title: Title of this research paper consists of 10 words. The suitable title length depends on whether target journal favours indicative or informative title. In general journals favouring short indicative titles for example prefer titles with less than eight words. Researcher used normal within the limit (say about 10-15 max). Hence, title of the paper is under criteria.</p> <p>Abstract: The researcher described the purpose, key findings and practice implications as well inside the abstract. The abstract may also be the only part of research paper that has a word limit. Present research paper shows totally 299 words and within the criteria.</p> <p>Keywords: Keywords are words or phrases that feel capture the most important aspects of the original research paper. The publication manual does not place a limit on how many key words may use. However, to be most effective, keywords should be a concise summary of the research paper's content. Recommended keywords are three to five. Hence, in this article a researcher does quoted five keywords.</p> <p>Introduction: Generally, an introduction justifies the significance of the subject matter and connects work to previous research. It is sensible to write the introduction in a form of a logical funnel. A logical funnel is a practical way to build up a functioning introduction. The aim of the article is to motive the reader and help them to understand the research topic is more important..</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Research Methodology: The article must describe research, the set-up and research methods precisely. In this way the reviewers can assess the scientific basis of research and justification of results. In this present research article, researcher focuses on sample selection, data analysis and statistical tools to analyze the difference between average values of the data.</p> <p>Results & Discussion: The analysis section of an article must concentrate on the actual analysis of the material, not on documenting the data. In the results section to explained and contemplated the results as well. The key results of the results highlights of growth factors of the plants by the researcher using through visual elements such as tables with the help of statistical tools.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion section, alongside the abstract and introduction, is one of the core elements of a journal article.. Hence, in this present article the researcher mentioned just conclusion of the empirical results of this study and implications as well.</p> <p>References: Generally, experimental, quasi-experimental and analytical design typically has between 35 and 39 references. Present paper shows 21 reference and all references are under criteria.</p> <p>Source: The researcher has failed to show the figure and tables.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. S. Jeyaraj
Department, University & Country	Gxun Business School, Sino-British College, Staffordshire University, UK, Guangxi Minzu University, China