

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_107215
Title of the Manuscript:	Negative influence of Johnson grass ( <i>Sorghum halepense</i> L) on yield of crops along with the strategies to suppress its growth with chemical and other management approaches – A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>No. The impact of Johnson grass (<i>Sorghum halepense</i> L) on yield of crops along with the strategies to suppress with chemical and other management approaches</p> <p>Yes but English is bad</p> <p>Yes. The English in the article is bad. Some sentences are not understood. It tried to correct but I didn't understood. Corrections should be made according to the journal's writing rules.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English in the article is bad. Sentences are not understood The English in the article is bad. Sentences are not understood. The article is poorly written. They didn't put punctuation marks at the end of sentences. Sentences cannot be understood in this form. Some scientific terms and words are wrong. It has a different meaning when you read it</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>If the English writing is thoroughly corrected scientifically, it can be published. Minor revision required</p> <p>The review consists of 3 pages, excluding introduction, references and summary. Very short. Written simply.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lerzan Ozturk</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Viticulture Research Institute, Turkey</b>