

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_107198
Title of the Manuscript:	UNVEILING THE POTENTIAL: NSAIDS AS GUARDIANS IN CANCER PREVENTION, GROWTH SUPPRESSION AND PROPHYLAXIS
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>[1] The manuscript reviews specific and relevant points in the context of cancer development due to recurrent inflammatory processes and highlights the main drugs that can combat these processes and thus minimize the effects of angiogenesis in the microenvironment.</p> <p>[2] The title is brief but enlightening about the aim of the study.</p> <p>[3] The abstract describes the review, the results and the conclusion well, I suggest a brief description of COX.</p> <p>[4] The parts of the manuscript are in line with the results, which proved to be easy to read.</p> <p>[5] In general, the parts of the manuscript comply with scientific rigor.</p> <p>[6] In the introduction, it can be seen that the citation [3] did not follow the order of the bibliographic reference.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The technical language of the manuscript is clear and the picture makes the text clearer.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The text describes a logical sequence of specific factors based on common and recurrent inflammatory processes that contribute to the increased likelihood of cancer promotion and possible development of progression and even possible metastasising through the process of angiogenesis, so the chosen approach reviews the main drugs that are easily accessible to the population for the primary fight against inflammatory processes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil