

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_106968
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Shapes of The Orbit with Various Age Groups by Using Plain Skull Radiographs: A 5-Year Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study in Northwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it will be helpful for optometrist and forensic science departments as the study has given a good description on morphometry of orbit.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Minor correction has to be done</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, sufficient for the study</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. In materials and methods, the study conducted year and month can be mentioned e.g., sept 2010 – sept 2015. ii. The radiologist assessing the radiographs can be of specific number not a hypothetical. E.g., two experienced radiologists determined the skulls. iii. What is the age group of the subject taken for the study is not mentioned? Kindly mention the age group who were included in the study. iv. In results, it is mentioned first three decades and fourth to sixth decades kindly mention the years (age). v. Conclusion can be explained clearly. For quadrangular in the bracket its written as triangular and in the discussion its written rectangular. Quadrangular (triangular), quadrangular (rectangular). Kindly clear the confusion. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> vi. Good but the sentences can be rephrased. For e.g., too much long sentence can be split up and phrased. <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> vii. How did you measured the orbit to say whether it is oval, round or rectangular. <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> viii. Photographs like x-rays can be included as fig.1,2...etc. <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ix. References should be included. There are no references, for e.g., there are many studies... what are the studies done should be discussed in this section. Discussion should be written in detail with reference article. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good study, discussion part can be written better. Kindly refer article and rewrite the discussion part.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Priya
Department, University & Country	Panimalar Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, India