

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_107561
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness of Ergonomics in the Clinical Laboratory.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, of course, because the manuscript is where the researcher informs the results of his research to others</p> <p>2. The article title should be: Awareness of the Application of Ergonomics in Clinical Laboratories</p> <p>3. Researchers have not explained the type and design of their research well. Researchers also did not explain the size of the population and sample and how to obtain the sample. The researchers did not explain the data needed in this research, including the instruments used and when the measurements were taken.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are good, but the contents of the subsections need improvement, as in the results subsection, the researcher should state the conclusions of the analysis results</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically sound, but its contents need to be studied in depth, especially in the results, discussion and conclusions chapters. In the conclusion, the researcher should explain the findings related to the research objectives</p> <p>6. There should be a minimum of 20 references, and references from journal articles published in the last 5 years should be used.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions and writing guidelines that have been determined	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions still needs improvement in several chapters	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Indonesia