

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_107413
Title of the Manuscript:	Peripapillar radial nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and choroidal macular thickness (CMT): valid biomarkers of disability progression during one year in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS)
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscripts is original but required more details and explanations for the abstract ,statistical analysis, the discussion and the limitations of this study.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of this article is very comprehensive but the author didn't details about the abstract The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are good and appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is correct and accepted scientifically Most of the references are very old ,and more recent references should be added</p> <p>The author didn't write the references in correct way ,he used et al which should be avoided in writing the references list</p> <p>The criteria of choosing the sample is not clear both group should have same or identical demographic data and have no others chronic diseases .</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear and quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should avoid the used of pronouns</p> <p>I highlight some of the paragraph and words which need to be change in yellow colour and the highlight in red colour should be added</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fakhria Jaber Muhaibes
Department, University & Country	College of Nursing, Al Mustaqbel University, Iraq