

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_105937</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Correlation between Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy and Auditory Brain- stem Response Audiometry in Acute Bilirubin Encephalopathy in Full Term Neonates</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, functional study to detect impending neurological damage from hyperbilirubinemia will be valuable in identifying patients that will benefit from more aggressive intervention.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>It is fair. Defining some of the numerous acronyms in the abstract will be helpful.</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, however, additional references could enrich the discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>First two paragraphs of the Introduction section need grammatical review:            "Acute bilirubin encephalopathy (ABE) is still an essential etiology of morbidity as well as mortality globally, <b>in particular in low-middle-income</b> nations as it's responsible for about fifteen percent of neonatal mortality. The pathophysiology of such acute life-threatening problem during infancy and its predicted evolution to kernicterus are still incompletely understood <sup>1</sup>.  <b>Symptoms of ABE might either ulterior or absent and cases suffering the disease might</b> not show distinct neurological manifestation during the neonatal duration, thus the clinical diagnosis of neonatal bilirubin encephalopathy is totally strenuous <sup>2</sup>."</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Demian J Omeh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine, USA</b>