

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_107964</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PHYSICAL AND ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF CORIANDER SEED AT DIFFERENT MOISTURE CONTENTS</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript contains fertilizers used for agricultural crops and strengthens food security</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title of the article is in accordance with the contents</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract article is it needs the factors that encourage this research to be carried out place of research and data from the results</b></p> <p>4. <b>The structure of the manuscript still needs additions and The script needs to be completed with fig</b></p> <p>5. <b>The script is correct but needs to be completed again</b></p> <p>6.. <b>Refrence is enough</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The quality of the writing is quite good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article still needs improvement if it is published in a journal and need to check again the similarity of theoretical sources and references</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anang Susanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Merdeka University, Indonesia</b>