

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107605
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different sources of nitrogen on various soil properties on wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) crop
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Among the essential nutrient, Nitrogen is a key nutrient which influences the growth and yield crop. N terms of Usage of fertilisers also, it holds first position irrespective of crop.</p> <p>Title entirely wrong. There is no study on soil properties, except N uptake by plant. Hence, title should be revised.</p> <p>Yes. But content is not enough to support the article.</p> <p>With this title it not correct.</p> <p>No. No recent references included. After 2007 Missing reference: Chaudhary <i>et al.</i> (1997) and Parmar and Sharma (1996).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs Improvement.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Too short, it dosnt convey, short methodology, hypothesis, and conclusion. There is no relevant conclusion. Keywords missing.</p> <p>Introduction : Latest review missing.</p> <p>Methodology : Initial soil analysis of the experimental site not included. Recommended NPK Schedule, and method of application, split application details completely missing.</p> <p>Result: Detailed result not given. The data (values) must be presented in result section. Only higher /lower statement alone indicated. Detailed results should be given.</p> <p>Discussion: Results obtained was not Scientifically discussed. Not matched with tables given. Highlighted.</p> <p>Tables: Very few data only included, that is not sufficient for good scitific article.</p> <p>Conclusion: Wrongly concluded.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Jegadeeswari
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India