

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_107896</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on Foraging behaviour of honeybees on flowers of rapeseed crop</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The abstract seem that the study was done for only one day, what can not be considered as scientifically based (foraging period depends on the duration of day, light, etc more than in specific time, or does it means that in all cases the strating foragin at the same time independently of day, season, weather, etc?).</p> <p><b>Methods:</b> Did the study include the application of sugar? Why? Nothing was said in the abstract about this. It was also mentioned that nectar was collected, but the result was not included in the abstract.</p> <p>Does not temperature or weather reported?</p> <p>May results and discussion be separate aim to comprehension. Table 1: It seem that only one observation per time and specie was done. Why it is reported the mean along different times? If it is already a mean (*) the standard deviation should be included. Did you observed something around the place of study that will explain the no observation of mellifera? Fig 1 represent the same than table 1? Please, no repetition Same comment for the table 2/figure 2</p> <p>Table 3; Mean data of what? Not indicated in the table. Temperarure and HR at which time?</p> <p>Results observed should be related with the crop, the yield, temperature, effect on agriculture, etc Conclusion should not be a repetition of results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Can be improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

**Review Form 1.7**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M Giner</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Spain</b>