

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107896
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Foraging behaviour of honeybees on flowers of rapeseed crop
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Partially important to scientific community and</p> <p>Suitable title for their related work</p> <p>Yes, but it need addition to results findings (grammatical and sentence correction is needed)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Partially correct</p> <p>References provided from 1970-2016. But compare the recent literature from 201-2022 if work is done in this relevant plant and insects species</p> <p>Authors must thoroughly read and correct the sentences from abstract to conclusion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Slight modification are needed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the manuscript some doubts are marked with red colour. Some paragraphs were corrected and appears as red colour. All these thoroughly read and rewrite the sentences</p> <p>Wahat is the aim and importance of the study (explain in introduction part)</p> <p>Mention the which instrument is used for calculating the time in Methodology</p> <p>Conclusion must write what you are find in your work and how it is useful to human kind or public</p> <p>The authors confirm that the data used to support the findings of this study are available within the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karri Rama Rao
Department, University & Country	Dr. V. S. Krishna Govt. Degree & PG College, Andhra University, India