

# Enhancing Blackgram Yield through Optimized Irrigation Scheduling and Integrated Nutrient Management: Growth Characteristics and Yield Components

## Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Soil Conservation and Water Management Farm of C S Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur during *Zaid seasons* i.e. 2022 to assess the effect of irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management on blackgram. The experiment consisted of three irrigation schedules *viz.* I<sub>1</sub>: 0.4 IW/CPE, I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE, I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE, along with five integrated nutrient management options *viz.* F<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF, F<sub>2</sub>: 125% RDF, F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha, F<sub>4</sub>: 50% RDF + 5 ton FYM/ha, F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost. The experiment was conducted in Split Plot Design replicated thrice irrigation scheduling systems in main plots and integrated nutrient management in sub plots. The result clearly revealed that significantly higher growth attributes *viz.* plant height (35.25 cm and 37.56 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (8.87 and 9.21), number of plant leaves (19.96 and 20.29) at harvest and yield attributes *viz.* number of pod per plant (27.80 and 30.10) no of seed per pod (6.62 and 6.91) and also higher seed yield (9.30 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 9.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), stover yield (23.21 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 24.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE compared to I<sub>1</sub>: 0.4 IW/CPE and I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE. Among the integrated nutrient management significantly higher growth attributes *viz.* plant height (36.12 cm and 38.07 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (9.01 and 9.32), number of plant leaves (20.05 and 20.45) at harvest and yield attributes *viz.* number of pod per plant (28.44 and 30.17) no of seed per pod (7.05 and 7.37) and also higher grain yield (9.73 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10.27 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), stover yield (23.55 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 24.76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost as compared to other integrated nutrient management protocol.

**Keywords:** IW/CPE, Vermi-compost, FYM, Yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are given second importance after cereals and pulses crop is rich in protein, fibers, vitamins, and minerals such as magnesium, iron, and zinc and low in fat, making them a great addition to any diet that plays a very important role in the diet of humans, especially in Indian people which are not able to supply their body protein due to being vegetarian. By holding atmospheric nitrogen in the root nodules, they keep the soil

fruitful and healthy. India has a ubiquitous position as the leading producer, the foremost consumer, and the largest importer of pulses. Pulses can be grown on a range of soil and climatic conditions and play an important role in crop rotation, mixed and inter-cropping, maintaining soil fertility through nitrogen fixation, releasing soil-bound phosphorus, and thus contributing significantly to the sustainability of the farming system. In the northern part of the country, black gram is grown in *kharif* or *summer* only while in the eastern and southern parts of India it grows in the *rabi* season also and sometimes grows as a green manuring crop.

India is its primary origin and mainly cultivated in Asian countries including Pakistan, Myanmar, and parts of Southern Asia. About 70 percent of the world's black gram production comes from India. India is the world's largest producer as well as consumer of black gram. Our country produces about 24.5 lakh tonnes of Urd bean annually from about 4.6 million hectares of area, with an average productivity of 533 kg per hectare in 2020-21 (Anonymous, 2020)<sup>[3]</sup>. Black gram area accounts for about 19 percent of India's total pulse acreage which contributes 23 percent of total pulse production. It is mostly grown as rain-fed during *Kharif* and *summer* in Northern India

Irrigation scheduling is one of the factors that influence the agronomic and economic viability of small farms. It is important for both water savings and improved crop yields point of view. Scientific irrigation scheduling should go with an understanding of the soil-water-plant-atmosphere continuum. Irrigation water economy can be aimed through appropriate irrigation schedules and meteorological approaches based on pan evaporation is one of the simplest, most reliable, economical, and least time-consuming methods. The loss of water through evapotranspiration, and leaching, can be minimized by scheduling irrigation. Water is not available in adequate quantities in the summer season, it limits crop production. One scientific method in the area is (IW: CPE Ratios) approach for scheduling of irrigation. Water use in agricultural production as one of the most important environmental factors affecting plant growth and development (Mirzaei *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[10]</sup>. Productivity response to water stress is different for each crop and is expected to vary with the climate (AbdzadGohari, 2013)<sup>[11]</sup>.

The integrated nutrient management ensures higher productivity, minimizes expenditure on costly fertilizer inputs, improves physical properties of soil, efficiency of added nutrients and at the same time ensures good soil health and is also an environment- friendly approach (Singh and Singh, 2017)<sup>[16]</sup>. It comprises of application of organic manures, green manures, bio-fertilizer and crop rotation with legumes along

with minimum use of chemical fertilizer to produce optimum crop yields without deteriorating the soil health.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out at Soil Conservation and Water Management Farm of Chandra Shukher Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. It lies between 25° 26' and 26° 58' North latitude and 79° 31' and 80° 34' East longitude, during *Zaid* seasons i.e. 2022. The experiment consisted of three irrigation schedules viz. I<sub>1</sub>: 0.4 IW/CPE, I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE, I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE, along with five integrated nutrient management options viz. F<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF, F<sub>2</sub>: 125% RDF, F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha, F<sub>4</sub>: 50 % RDF + 5 ton FYM/ha, F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost. The experiment was conducted in Split Plot Design replicated thrice, keeping irrigation scheduling in main plots and integrated nutrient management in sub plots. The soil of experimental area was sandy loam having organic carbon (0.33 %), available N (0.03%) available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (17.85kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available K<sub>2</sub>O (131.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The blackgram varieties Shekhar-2 was sown in second fortnight of March while harvested in first fortnight June, respectively during both the consecutive year.

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Growth characteristics

The growth attributes of Blackgram as influenced by irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management. The data in table 1 showed that growth parameters viz., plant height, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and number of plant leaves were recorded significantly heights by the irrigation scheduling at I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE followed by I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE. Higher plant height (35.25 cm and 37.56 cm) in both year. Might be due to adequate and timely supply of irrigation water at 0.8 IW/CPE which provided better nourishment and enhanced the metabolic process in the plant and promoted the cell division and cell expansion and thereby stem elongation which virtually increased the plant growth in terms of plant height. Similar result was found by Singh *et al* (2018)<sup>[17]</sup> and Patel *et al* (2020)<sup>[12]</sup>. Higher number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (8.87 and 9.21) in both years, might be due to availability of optimum moisture contributed to effective absorption and utilization of nutrients and better proliferation of roots resulting in better branches plant<sup>-1</sup>. These results were in conformity with the findings of Patel *et al* (2020)<sup>[12]</sup>. Higher number of plant leaves (19.96 and 20.29) each year this is because of the accelerated vegetative growth resulted in an extensive photosynthetic apparatus and relative increase was recorded in plant leaves. Similar results were found by Patel *et al* (2016)<sup>[11]</sup> and Patel *et al* (2020)

The data in table 1 showed that higher plant height (36.12 cm and 38.07 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (9.01 and 9.32), number of plant leaves (20.05 and 20.45) in both years recorded highest in F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost as compared to F<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF, F<sub>2</sub>: 125% RDF, F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha, and F<sub>4</sub>: 50 % RDF + 5 ton

FYM/ha. This was because of availability of nutrients under the treatment receiving organic sources supplemented with vermicompost. These results are also in agreement with the finding Vitnoret *et al* (2015)<sup>[18]</sup> and Singh *et al* (2017)<sup>[15]</sup>

### 3.2 yield components

The yield attributes of blackgram as influenced by irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management. The data in table 2 showed that yield parameter viz number of pod per plant (27.80 and 30.10) number of seed per pod (6.62 and 6.91) were significantly highest by the irrigation scheduling at I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE followed by I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE. This might be due to maintenance of optimum soil moisture condition which affected the root nodulation as well as availability of different nutrients, further adequate availability of moisture at all stages of crop growth and development leading to high water potential, stomatal conductance, higher photosynthesis, partitioning of photosynthates to sink consequently increasing pods per plant and number of seed per pod. This is in conformity with the result of Yadav and Singh (2014)<sup>[19]</sup>, Shirgapur and fatima(2018)<sup>[14]</sup> and Patel *et al* (2022)<sup>[13]</sup>.

The data in the table 2 showed that higher number of pod per plant (28.44 and 30.17) and number of seed per pod (7.05 and 7.37) in both years were recorded significantly highest in F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost as compared to F<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF, F<sub>2</sub>: 125% RDF. F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha, and F<sub>4</sub>: 50 % RDF + 5 ton FYM/ha. This was because of availability of nutrients under the treatment receiving organic sources supplemented with Vermicompost. Similar results were found by De *et al* (2011)<sup>[6]</sup> and Meena *et al* (2019)<sup>[8]</sup>.

### 3.3 Yield

The yield parameters of blackgram as influenced by irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management. The data in table 3 showed that yield parameter viz., grain yield (9.73 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10.27 qha<sup>-1</sup>), and stover yield(23.55 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 24.76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly highest by the irrigation scheduling at I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE followed by I<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 IW/CPE. This might be due to maintenance of optimum soil moisture condition which affected the root nodulation as well as availability of different nutrients, further adequate availability of moisture at all stages of crop growth, higher vegetative growth and development leading to high water potential, stomatal conductance, higher photosynthesis, partitioning of photosynthates to sink consequently increasing grain yield and stover yield. This result also confirms the finding Chavan *et al* (2014)<sup>[4]</sup>, Kumar *et al* (2015)<sup>[7]</sup> and Shirgapur and fatima (2018)<sup>[14]</sup>

The data in table 3 showed that higher grain yield (9.73 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10.27 qha<sup>-1</sup>), and stover yield (23.55 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 24.76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in both years recorded highest in F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost as compared to F<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF, F<sub>2</sub>: 125% RDF. F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha, and F<sub>4</sub>: 50 % RDF + 5 ton FYM/ha. This was because of availability of nutrients under the treatment receiving organic sources supplemented with vermicompost. This is in conformity with the result of Abhilasha *et al* (2022)<sup>[2]</sup>, Danga *et al* (2022)<sup>[5]</sup> and Meera *et al* (2022)<sup>[9]</sup>.

**Table 1**The effect of irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management on growth of Urd bean.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			No. of branches per plant			No. of Plant leaves		
	At Maturity			At Maturity			At Maturity		
	2022	2022	2022	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled
<b>Irrigation Scheduling-</b>									
<b>I<sub>1</sub> - 0.4 IW/CPE.</b>	31.51	32.44	31.975	5.88	6.43	6.155	17.17	17.38	17.275
<b>I<sub>2</sub> - 0.6 IW/CPE.</b>	34.54	36.18	35.36	8.42	8.83	8.625	18.98	19.25	19.115
<b>I<sub>3</sub> -0.8 IW/CPE.</b>	35.25	37.56	36.40	8.87	9.21	9.04	19.96	20.29	20.125
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.55	0.43	0.49	0.11	0.12	0.115	0.24	0.26	0.25
<b>CD at 5 %</b>	1.58	1.25	1.415	0.33	0.36	0.345	0.68	0.75	0.715
<b>Integrated nutrient management</b>									
<b>F<sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF.</b>	31.49	32.76	32.125	6.83	7.04	6.935	17.90	17.95	17.925
<b>F<sub>2</sub> - 125% RDF.</b>	32.84	33.92	33.38	7.00	7.31	7.155	18.09	18.12	18.105
<b>F<sub>3</sub> -75% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha.</b>	33.81	35.46	34.635	7.48	8.29	7.885	18.47	18.92	18.695
<b>F<sub>4</sub> - 50% RDF + 5.0 t FYM/ ha.</b>	34.57	36.77	35.67	8.30	8.82	8.56	19.01	19.44	19.225
<b>F<sub>5</sub> -50% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost.</b>	36.12	38.07	37.095	9.01	9.32	9.165	20.05	20.45	20.25
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.76	0.49	0.625	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.43	0.43	0.43
<b>CD at 5%</b>	1.59	1.03	1.31	0.37	0.42	0.395	0.89	0.91	0.9

**Table 2**The effect of irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management on the Yield attributing character of Urd bean.

Treatments	Yield attributing character					
	Number of pods per plant			Number of seeds per plant		
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled
<b>Irrigation Scheduling-</b>						
<b>I<sub>1</sub></b> - 0.4 IW/CPE.	21.70	23.11	22.405	4.81	5.23	5.02
<b>I<sub>2</sub></b> - 0.6 IW/CPE.	26.33	28.30	27.315	6.10	6.41	6.255
<b>I<sub>3</sub></b> .0.8 IW/CPE.	27.80	30.10	28.95	6.62	6.91	6.765
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.36	0.40	0.38	0.08	0.09	0.085
<b>CD at 5 %</b>	1.04	1.14	1.09	0.24	0.27	0.255
<b>Integrated nutrient management</b>						
<b>F<sub>1</sub></b> - 100% RDF.	22.75	24.20	23.475	4.99	5.22	5.105
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b> - 125% RDF.	23.68	25.19	24.435	5.22	5.37	5.295
<b>F<sub>3</sub></b> -75% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha.	24.77	27.47	26.12	5.62	5.92	5.77
<b>F<sub>4</sub></b> - 50% RDF + 5.0 t FYM/ ha.	26.76	28.81	27.785	6.34	7.04	6.69
<b>F<sub>5</sub></b> -50% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost.	28.44	30.17	29.305	7.05	7.37	7.21
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.59	0.63	0.61	0.13	0.14	0.135
<b>CD at 5%</b>	1.22	1.31	1.265	0.28	0.30	0.29

**Table 3**The effect of irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management on yield of Urd bean.

Treatments	YIELD					
	Seed yield(q/ha)			Stover yield		
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled
<b>IRRIGATION SCHEDULIN-</b>						
<b>I<sub>1</sub></b> - 0.4 IW/CPE.	6.83	7.00	6.915	20.25	21.00	20.625
<b>I<sub>2</sub></b> - 0.6 IW/CPE.	8.59	9.17	8.88	22.79	23.54	23.165
<b>I<sub>3</sub></b> -0.8 IW/CPE.	9.30	9.90	9.6	23.21	24.03	23.62
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.30	0.29	0.295	0.57	0.48	0.525
<b>CD at 5 %</b>	0.87	0.83	0.85	1.62	1.37	1.495
<b>Integrated nutrient management</b>						
<b>F<sub>1</sub></b> - 100% RDF.	7.18	7.40	7.29	20.14	21.01	20.575
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b> - 125% RDF.	7.49	7.74	7.615	21.21	21.72	21.465
<b>F<sub>3</sub></b> -75% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha.	7.98	8.57	8.275	22.54	22.94	22.74
<b>F<sub>4</sub></b> - 50% RDF + 5.0 t FYM/ ha.	8.82	9.47	9.145	22.97	23.86	23.415
<b>F<sub>5</sub></b> -50% RDF + 2.5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost.	9.73	10.27	10	23.55	24.76	24.155
<b>Se(d)</b>	0.36	0.37	0.365	0.60	0.62	0.61
<b>CD at 5%</b>	0.75	0.77	0.76	1.24	1.29	1.265

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on results obtained during the experimentation, it can be concluded that amongst different irrigation scheduling **I<sub>3</sub>: 0.8 IW/CPE**, with **F<sub>5</sub>: 50% RDF + 2.5 ton FYM/ha + 1 ton vermi-compost** recorded highest Growth characteristics, yield components and also yield of Blackgram as compared to other irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management

## REFERENCES

1. AbdzadGohari, (2013). Effects of water infiltration of soil on yield and water use efficiency of common bean. *International journal of agronomy and plant production*, 4(3): 459-463.
2. Abhilasha, Pandey, S., Prajapati, H. and Gangwar, B. (2022). Integrated nutrient management through organic sources in green gram (*Vigna radiata*) under Bundelkhand region of Jhansi; *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(5): 241-244.
3. Anonymous. (2020). Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2020. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Co-operation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
4. Chavan, V., Kachare, S., Lawate, P. (2014). Effect of irrigation scheduling and mulches on *summer* green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Trends in Bioscience journal*, 7(20):3229-3231.
5. Danga, N., Yadav, R. K., Danga, S., Sharma, M. K., Yadav, S. L., Ram, B., Kamlesh Bhil, K. and Yada, V. K. (2022). Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality, yield, nutrient content and uptake of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) in the South-eastern Plain of Rajasthan - *An International Journal*, LR-4860 (1-7).
6. De, B., M. Ghosh, M., and Das, B. (2011). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, uptake, and economics of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) under Terai Region of West Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 7(2): 120 -123.
7. Kumar, M., Patel, J. J., Umale, A., Prasad, R. D. and Patel, H. K. (2015). Performance of cultivar and irrigation scheduling (IW: CPE ratio) on yield, water use efficiency, consumptive use of water, and economics of *summer* Cluster bean (*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* L.) under middle Gujarat conditions. *Research in Environment and Life Science*, 8(4):599-602.
8. Meena, J. K., Anuj Gupta, A., Chandan Kumar, C., Pandey, C. S., NC Pant, N. C. and Shivsharan Singh, S. (2019). Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Cv. pant Anupama under valley conditions of Dehradun. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(6): 1032-1035.
9. Meera, Tigga, R., Singh, V.K., Sidar, R. S., Ahirwar, K. C., and Sahu, D. K. (2022). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield attributes and yield in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(8): 1463-1467.

10. Mirzaei, M. R., Rezvani, M. A. and Gohari, C. (2005). Effects of water stress at different growth stages on yield and some physiological characteristics of sugar beet. *Journal of sugar beet*, 21(1):1-14.
11. Patel, A. R., Patel, D.D., Patel, T. U. and Patel, H. M. (2016). Nutrient Management in summer Green Gram. *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture* 2 (2).
12. Patel, D. B., Patel, T. U., Patel, H. H., Patel, D. D., Patel, H. M. and Zinzala, M. J. (2020). Irrigation scheduling and weed management in *rabi* green gram (*Vigna radiata*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(3): 204-210.
13. Patel, T., Patel, R. A. and Patel. P. (2022). Effect of irrigation scheduling and nitrogen management on growth and yield of *summer* groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(9): 1623-1627.
14. Shirgapure, K. H. and Fathima, P. S. (2018). Growth and yield of pulses as influenced by irrigation levels in the southern dry zone of Karnataka. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(1): 2444-2448.
15. Singh, M., Deokaran, Mishra, J. S. and Bhatt, B. P. (2017). Effect of integrated nutrient management on production potential and quality of *summer* Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 5(2): 39-45.
16. Singh, R. and Singh, A. P. (2017). Effect of phosphorous, sulphur, and biofertilizers on soil properties and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 19 (3): 324-328.
17. Singh, Y. P., Tomar, S. S., Singh, A. K. and Yadav, R. P. (2018). Nutrient management and irrigation scheduling effect on the black gram (*Vigna mungo*) – French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) yield, economics, water productivity, and soil properties. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 17(1): 58-64.
18. Vitnor, S., Lal, E. P. and Rao, K. P. (2015). Studies on integrated nutrient management on seed yield and quality of Green gram (*Vigna Radiate* L.). *International Journal of Recent Research in Life Sciences*, 2(2): 42-45.
19. Yadav, S. and Singh, B. K. (2014). Effect of irrigation schedules and planting methods on yield, attributes, and economics of Green gram (*Phaseolus Radiate* L.) under rice - Wheat - Green gram cropping system. *Plant Archives*, 14(1):521-52.