

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_107301
Title of the Manuscript:	Quadruple arterial blood supply to the liver: A rare variation
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES. As presented, this findings seem to be new in this field that would inform surgery procedures and cement the importance of cadaver dissection remains in the era of growing advocacy for virtual dissection in anatomy education. Meaning, science is yet complete!!</p> <p>Not so informative. I suggest: <i>“Quadruple arterial blood supply to the liver: A rare variation observed at Anatomy Department, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria”</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammatical errors/mistakes indicated should be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. There are several incomplete sentences that should be corrected for clarity.</p> <p>2. The manuscript is technically written; maybe targeting surgeons' community. Hence, needs review from such an expert.</p> <p>3. Some ideas/points are presented in an unclear manner making it difficult for a non-medical reviewer to comprehend observations presented.</p> <p>4. It is not clear how the variation observed by the authors would influence surgery as claimed.</p> <p>5. Presenting the normal anatomy of the presented case could facilitate of the discussion/ observations presented.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima
Department, University & Country	Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania