

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_107211
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cognitive Degree of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers for Mathematical Reasoning Ability in Junior High School in China
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, it is.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, it is</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, it is. However, the summary section should clearly state what contribution this study makes and what research gap it fills.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, they are.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The introduction needs to be further developed to clearly emphasize the legitimacy/importance of the new initiative. Significance of the inquire about needs moreover to be more clearly expressed, in more profundity and maybe counting here an illustration.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. A broader critical review of the most recent literature would considerably improve the manuscript's quality and reinforce its academic viewpoint and scientific grounding. Try to integrate some recent journal article references from 2020-2023 in the appropriate domains to boost the research's relevance and currency. An detailed review of the literature can help you handle the issue of the manuscript's contribution.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Stronger arguments, evidence of the uniqueness and relevance of this particular research, interpretation of the findings, and an explanation for the research's significance in respect to what is previously known about this research topic are our recommendations.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arita Marini
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri, Indonesia