

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_106799
Title of the Manuscript:	A Transmesocolic Hernia of the Transverse Colon with Intestinal Obstruction
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Although we found several similar manuscripts described in the literature, the reported case is unusual and therefore of great interest to the scientific community. The title of the article is appropriate to the story described. The abstract of the article is well described and quite comprehensive. The structure of the article is adequate. The text is well structured, the abstract is very comprehensive, the conclusion is quite assertive and the images presented are of high quality. However, some comments and suggestions for other topics should be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> INTRODUCTION The introduction should introduce the reader of the article to the topic covered. I believe that the second paragraph ("In our case, we confirmed..."), which refers to the reported case, would be better positioned in the "Case Report" section or in the Discussion. CASE REPORT The authors could provide more detail on the changes found during laparotomy and the surgical treatment performed. For example: Were the incarcerated intestinal loops viable? Which segment of the small intestine was herniated? Was there a need for intestinal resection and anastomosis? How was the synthesis of the defect in the mesocolon performed? What surgical thread is used? DISCUSSION In the second paragraph, where it is described "From 2000 to 2020, we identified...", the authors state that they identified a series of 26 cases of congenital transverse transmesocolic hernias. In fact this information was reported by Nolasco <i>et al.</i> as can be seen in reference number 2. Therefore, it is necessary to give credit to the authors of this article, stating the reference at the end of the sentence. It is suggested to modify this sentence to, for example: According to Nolasco <i>et al.</i>, from 2000 to 2020, 26 cases of congenital transverse transmesocolic hernias in adults were described in the literature... In the third paragraph, where is described "For patients suspected...", the authors comment on complementary imaging tests. Although abdominal CT is the gold standard for diagnosing internal hernia, the syndromic diagnosis of "intestinal obstruction" it can be done only with an abdominal x-ray in standing position. According to the description of the clinical case, the patient underwent an abdominal x-ray, but was sent to the Surgical Center without a CT scan. What was the reason? It is important to include this information in the text, as the preoperative diagnosis could influence the surgical approach: laparotomy or laparoscopy. At the end of this paragraph the authors report the "common imaging features of internal hernias", however, they do not inform where these findings are observed: CT? Abdominal x-ray? Ultrasound? It's important to describe this. The references used are sufficient and up to date, however, this references need to be inserted in the text at the time they are mentioned. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language used in the article is appropriate 	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Calvão Barbuto
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil