

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107738
Title of the Manuscript:	Problem Faced by Students of SKNAU, Jobner Regarding Rural Agricultural Work Experience (RAWE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the problem discussed in the manuscript is very important for society as agriculture is the basis of our life.</p> <p>The title should be re-formulated as it is not clearly formulated. For example: Problems in Students' Rural Agricultural Work Experience in India</p> <p>The abstract is mostly formulated in the conventional way. Only the aim of the study-research is not indicated.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction does not formulate the problem for investigation. The aim of the study-research is not presented. 2. Theoretical basis of Students' Rural Agricultural Work Experience should be covered in a separate section. In the submitted manuscript, theory is disclosed in the Introductory section. <p>Yes, the manuscript is structured and presented in a scientific way.</p> <p>Yes, the references are a little bit outdated taking into consideration that the latest cited reference refers to 2019, and today is 2023.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English is ok.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The references are shown in the list of references but not cited in the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript needs some updates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Aim of the study - Theoretical basis and description - Methodology enrichment: when the data were collected, how (online, etc, description of the methods for data analysis, e.g. ranking, etc) - Discussion improvement: This is not a discussion, it is a statement: "The findings of the study are in line with the findings of Singh (2016), Mann and Sachan (2017) and Prajapati et al. (2017)". What kind of findings did you mean? - Conclusions have to be extended: limitations of research, further work, implication for colleges, etc 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jelena Zascerinska
Department, University & Country	Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia