

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_105688</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Application of Grounded Theory Method in Exploring the Discourse of Involuntary Resettlement; Challenges Encountered</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It does not break new ground, and provide a foundation for future research.</p> <p>2. The topic of this paper makes an interest to the audience of this journal. It is based on a known theory.</p> <p>3. It is well organized, clearly written.</p> <p>4. The content of this paper is not technically accurate and sound.</p> <p>5. The research methodology for the study is not appropriate and applied properly.</p> <p>6. . It is essential to include recent research publication, particular the past five years, in the introduction section. Also, it should be critically reviewed and research gaps should be generated.</p> <p>More significant findings should be generated from this manuscript. The discussion of the manuscript is also problematic. The practical and applied aspect of the well-known theory is not mentioned.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Using more cohesive ties and maintaining the coherence are highly recommended	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Implications and recommendations for management should be clear and useful.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marziyeh Nekoueizadeh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Humanities, Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>