

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_107185</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>TO DETERMINE THE PATHOGENESIS AND PREVENTIVE STRATEGIES OF HYPERTENSION-INDUCED KIDNEY FAILURE AMONG HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN THE TAMALE TEACHING HOSPITAL, GHANA.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	---------------------------	---

**Review Form 1.7**

<p><b><u>Compulsory REVISION comments</u></b></p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Reference 3, a nursing textbook, is less commonly used in scholarly references</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor REVISION comments</u></b></p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General comments</u></b></p>	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Consider specifying the number of participants, including the data collection timeframe, and provide actual numbers in the results section. Complete the conclusion sentence.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> lacks consistent citation formatting, clarity in organization, and concise definitions, while also being somewhat lengthy. Providing specific citations and simplifying explanations would improve it.</p> <p><b>Methods section:</b> while clear, would benefit from brevity for improved clarity.</p> <p><b>Weaknesses in the Sampling Procedure :</b> include the use of convenience sampling, which may introduce bias, and limited details about participant selection. Additionally, more information about data collection, analysis, and ethical considerations could enhance the section's completeness.</p> <p><b>The results section :</b> could benefit from clarifying "GHC" for income levels, maintaining consistent percentage, and ensuring proper referencing of tables and figures. hypertension-induced kidney failure prevalence across socio-demographic groups lacks detailed statistical measures and analysis, which could enhance the section's depth.</p> <p><b>The discussion:</b> lacks clear organization and depth in interpreting the findings, and it could benefit from acknowledging study limitations and providing a more comprehensive comparison with existing literature. It lacks analysis of potential biases in participant responses and could delve into the impact of these beliefs on actual preventive behaviors and treatment adherence.</p> <p><b>For Conclusions and study limitations:</b> It recognizes potential memory bias as a limitation due to its cross-sectional nature.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Riyadh Muhi Abbood Al-Saegh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Medicine, University of Kerbala, Iraq</b>