

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107684
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic-inorganic fertilization on yield and yield determining parameters of Picrorhiza kurroa Royle ex Benth.: an endangered medicinal plant species of Kashmir Himalaya.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, it is. But better if an amendment is made on almost all parts of the paper. To specify, the abstract, introduction, Methodology and result and discussion part of the paper needs modification and is mandatory to amend based on the comments indicated on the main manuscript on each part to fit the standard of the journal and the demand of readers. Specially the result and discussion part need major modification.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ye it is. But it will be better if it is rewritten by taking the comment given on the manuscript.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Somehow it is. But it has to be improved in a way that includes the statement of the problem, objectives methodology, results and conclusion. Because the abstract should stand alone and transfer all the necessary information of the manuscript if someone wants to understand the overall content of the paper by reading the abstract.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Starting from the introduction all of the subsections of this manuscript need improvements. For example, the introduction is not supported with relevant source/articles. The materials and methos part also need improvement based on the comments given on the main document. The results and discussion parts/ subsections need revision.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	It is not correct. It is necessary to include and made improvement based on the comments and suggestions included from No1- 4 above and on the main body/manuscript. Mainly the result part of the manuscript should be revised for biometry related issues.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They are not sufficient mainly on the discussion part there is no sufficient citation. Therefore, this parts shall be supported by recent and relevant sources.	
7. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The paper should be revised and rewritten by incorporating all the comments indicated on each part for the betterment of the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	Somehow it is good.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
2.		
Optional/General comments	The papers idea is necessary but it is needs improvement for better quality. The comments written on each part of the paper starting from the title to the final page should be incorporated before publication is made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endalkachew Baye
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia