

Effect of nitrogen and boron on Growth Parameters, Yield Attributes and Yield of Mustard Crop in Chitrakoot Area

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2022 at Rajoula Agriculture farm, of Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.). The present experiment having 13 treatment combinations replicated thrice in randomized block design. Mustard variety Pusa Mahak was grown with recommended agronomic practices. On the basis of the results emanated from present investigation, it could be concluded that application of T_{12} [$\frac{1}{2}$ of RDN + (1st nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] significantly recorded maximum growth parameters such as plant height (198.8 cm), number of branches plant⁻¹ (8.7) and maximum yield attributing characters such as number of siliqua plant⁻¹ (166.8), number of seed siliqua⁻¹ (13.4) and 1000 seed weight (5.1 g). The result showed highest grain yield (1523.81 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (4761.90 kg ha⁻¹), with treatment T_{12} [$\frac{1}{2}$ of RDN + (1st nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] in comparison to all the treatments. While the minimum value of growth parameters viz. plant height (171.2 cm), number of branches plant⁻¹ (6.3) and yield attributes such as number of siliqua plant⁻¹ (117.5), number of seed siliqua⁻¹ (11.7) and 1000 seed weight (3.8 g) recorded under the treatment T_1 [100 % NPK as per RDF]

Keywords: Nano-urea, Mustard, Yield and Boron.

1. Introduction

Indian mustard is one of the most important edible oilseed *rabi* crop of North India commonly known as *Sarson*, *Rai* or *Laha*. It belongs to the family Brassicaceae and genus *Brassica*. It comprises various traditionally grown indigenous species, namely, Toria (*Brassica campestris* L. var. toria), Brown sarson (*Brassica campestris* L. var. brown sarson), Yellow sarson (*Brassica campestris* L. var. yellow sarson), Black mustard (*Brassica nigra*) and Taramira (*Eruca sativa/vesicaria* Mill.) which are grown over different parts of the country (Radhamaniet *al.*, 2013).

Rapeseed mustard is the second most consumed edible oilseed crop in India, after soybean. India has 6.23 million hectares area under rapeseed mustard and 9.34 million

tonnes production with average productivity of 1499 kg ha^{-1} , which is about three-fourth of the world's average productivity (1960 kg ha^{-1}) (DAC & FW, 2020). Rajasthan ranks first both in terms of area and production of Indian mustard, which accounts for 45% of mustard of the country. It is the most important *rabi* season oilseed crop of Rajasthan which is grown on 2.18 million hectares with annual production of 3.40 million tonnes at an average productivity of 1558 kg ha^{-1} (Anonymous, 2019-20). In the Madhya Pradesh, it is grown on an area 1038.15 thousand hectares with a production of 1.69 million tonnes (Anonymous 2021-22).

Urea is a rich source of nitrogen, an essential nutrient for plant growth. Nitrogen is a crucial component of chlorophyll, the green pigment in plants responsible for photosynthesis. Adequate nitrogen supply helps mustard plants produce more chlorophyll, leading to improved photosynthesis and overall plant growth. Mustard plants respond well to nitrogen fertilizers like urea during their vegetative stage (Shorna et al., 2020). Urea provides the necessary nitrogen for the formation of leaves, stems, and branches, resulting in increased plant height and canopy development. This can lead to better light interception and more efficient utilization of sunlight. The application of urea at the right time and in the right amount can significantly increase mustard crop yield. One of the most common symptoms of nitrogen deficiency is the yellowing of older leaves, starting from the tips and progressing towards the base of the plant. Urea deficiency can lead to reduced plant height, fewer branches, and a generally stunted appearance. Mustard plants may fail to reach their full growth potential (Iqbal et al., 2015).

Nano fertilizers possess unique features which enhance plant performance in terms of ultra-high absorption, increase in production, rise in the leaves surface area. Besides the controlled release of nutrients contributes in preventing eutrophication and pollution in water resources. Replacement of traditional fertilizers by nano fertilizer is beneficial as upon application, it releases nutrients into the soil steadily and in a controlled way, thus preventing the water pollution (Naderi and Danesh Shahraki 2013, Moaveni and Kheiri, 2011).

Among most recent technical improvements in the field of agriculture, nanotechnology holds an eminent position in remodeling agriculture and food production to fulfill the demands in an efficient and cost-effective way. Nanotechnology is a promising tool and has the potential to foster a new era of precise farming techniques and therefore, may emerge as a possible solution for their problems. Nanotechnology has the potential to improve global food

production and food quality (**Sugunan and Dutta, 2008**). Nano fertilizers are nutrient carriers of nano dimensions ranging from 30-40 nm and capable of holding a bountiful amount of nutrients due to their high surface area and release it slowly and steadily that commensurate with crop demand (**Subramanian et al. 2015**) and have a profound influence on crop production (**Panwar et al. 2012**).

Boron is essential for the formation and stability of plant cell walls. It is involved in the cross-linking of pectin molecules, which helps maintain the structural integrity of plant cells. In mustard plants, this is especially important for maintaining stem and seed pod strength. Adequate boron levels promote efficient pollination and higher seed set, ultimately contributing to increased yield. Boron aids in the uptake and translocation of other essential nutrients within the plant. It helps in the movement of calcium, which is important for cell division and overall plant growth (**Sharma et al., 2020**). Boron deficiency in mustard often leads to the development of distorted, misshapen, and brittle young leaves and stems. This is known as "dieback" or "little leaf" syndrome. Boron deficiency can result in reduced flower formation and poor fruit or seed development. Flowers may drop prematurely, leading to decreased yield. In severe cases of boron deficiency, mustard stems can become hollow or brittle. This can make the plant more susceptible to lodging (falling over) and disease (**Masum et al., 2019**).

Keeping in view the significance of potassic fertilizers in combination with organic manures on growth parameter, yield components and yield of pigeon pea present investigation was undertaken at Rajoula Agriculture farm, of Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.)

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was carried out at Rajoula Agriculture farm, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) which lies in the semi-arid and subtropical region of Madhya Pradesh between 25.148° North latitude and 80.855° East longitude. The altitude of town is about 190-210 meter above mean sea level.

2.2 Edaphic Condition

The soil was moist, well drained with uniform plane topography. The soil of the experimental field was alluvial in origin, sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction having pH 7.4

(1:2.5 soil: water suspension method given by **Jackson, 1973**), low in organic carbon percentage in soil is 0.31 per cent (Walkley and Black's rapid titration method given by **Walkley and Black, 1934**), low in available nitrogen 97.68 kg ha⁻¹ (Alkaline permanganate method given by **Subbiah and Asija, 1956**), medium in available phosphorus as sodium bicarbonate-extractable P was 16.25 kg ha⁻¹ (Olsen's calorimetrically method, **Olsen et al., 1954**), high in available potassium was 292.90 kg ha⁻¹ (Flame photometer method given by **Hanwey and Heidel, 1952**), low in available boron 0.38 mg kg⁻¹ (Azomethine-H method given by **Berger and Troug, 1939**)

2.4 Experimental Details

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design and replicated thrice comprising with 12 treatment combinations.

Table no.-1: Treatment details

Symbol	Treatment Combinations	Details of Treatment
T ₀		100 % NPK as per RDF
T ₁	N ₀ B ₀	½ of RDN + (2 water spray + 0.0 kg B)
T ₂	N ₁ B ₀	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd water spray + 0.0 kg B)
T ₃	N ₂ B ₀	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd nano-urea spray + 0.0 kg B)
T ₄	N ₀ B ₁	½ of RDN + (2 water spray + 0.5 kg B)
T ₅	N ₁ B ₁	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd water spray + 0.5 kg B)
T ₆	N ₂ B ₁	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd nano-urea spray + 0.5 kg B)
T ₇	N ₀ B ₂	½ of RDN + (2 water spray + 1.0 kg B)
T ₈	N ₁ B ₂	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd water spray + 1.0 kg B)
T ₉	N ₂ B ₂	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd nano-urea spray + 1.0 kg B)
T ₁₀	N ₀ B ₃	½ of RDN + (2 water spray + 1.25 kg B)
T ₁₁	N ₁ B ₃	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd water spray + 1.25 kg B)
T ₁₂	N ₂ B ₃	½ of RDN + (1 st nano-urea spray + 2 nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)

Note: Recommended dose of fertilizer (60:40:40 kg ha⁻¹) was applied.

2.5 Fertilizer application

FYM was applied @ 10q ha^{-1} as basal dose. After the layout of experimental plot, the fertilizers were weighed and applied in the plots and thoroughly mixed with soil. As per the experimental recommended doses of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium were applied to assigned plots. Recommended dose of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium were applied through Urea, DAP and MOP ($60:40:40\text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) whereas boron was applied through borax (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.25 kg B ha^{-1}).

2.5.3 Seed and sowing: The seed sowing was done on 19th Nov. 2022. The seed was sown in line after making a narrow furrow with the help of pointed wooden stick at different row spacing. The seeds were dropped in the furrow after mixture with fine dust of soil and then after seeds were covered with thin soil layer. The total quantity of seed was required @ 6kg/ha . The Mustard variety was “**Pusa Mahak**”.

2.5.6 Harvesting: The crop was harvested on 14th Feb., 2023 when it reached to its physiological maturity i.e. when the leaves were turned yellow and more than 70% capsules were full matured to avoid shattering of the crop.

2.6 Observations recorded

2.6.2 Grain yield (q ha^{-1}): The total weight of clean and dried grains from each plot was weighed with the help of electronic balance in kg/ha .

2.6.3 Straw yield (q ha^{-1}): Straw yield of each plot can be obtained by deducting the grain yield from the respective biological yield and expressed in kg/ha .

2.7 Statistical Analysis: The data on various characters studied during the course of investigation were statistically analyzed for randomized block design. Wherever treatment differences were significant (“F” test), critical differences were worked out at five per cent probability level. The data obtained during the study were analyzed statistically using the methods advocated by **Gomez and Gomez (1984)**.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Growth Parameters

Data pertaining to growth parameters mainly plant height (cm), and number of branches plant¹ are presented in table no. 2 clearly revealed that application of nano urea and boron increased

these attributes significantly over control. The results revealed that the plant height varied in between 171.2 to 198.8 cm and all the treatments were significantly superior to treatment T₁ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. The treatment combination T₁₂ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] gave the maximum plant height (198.8). Number of branches plant⁻¹ of mustard varied in between 6.3 to 8.7 and all the treatments were significantly superior to T₁ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. The treatment combination T₁₂ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] gave the maximum no. of branches plant⁻¹ (8.7). Minimum plant height (171.2 cm) and number of branches plant⁻¹ (6.3) were associated with the treatment T₀ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. These findings are further supported by **Khan *et al.* (2010), Shagata *et al.* (2020) and Satyanarayana *et al.* (2021)**

Table no.-2: Effect of different treatment combinations on growth parameters of mustard

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant ⁻¹
T ₀	171.2	6.3
T ₁	174.8	6.5
T ₂	177.3	6.7
T ₃	183.4	7.0
T ₄	180.5	6.8
T ₅	185.7	7.1
T ₆	188.3	7.5
T ₇	186.1	7.3
T ₈	193.2	8.0
T ₉	196.8	8.4
T ₁₀	191.5	7.7
T ₁₁	194.6	8.2

T₁₂	198.8	8.7
S.E.m±	1.31	0.25
C.D. (P= 0.05)	3.94	0.76

3.2. Yield Components

Data pertaining to yield attributing parameters mainly no. of siliqua plant⁻¹, no. of seed siliqua⁻¹ and 1000 seed weight (g) are presented in table no. 3 clearly revealed that application of nano urea in combination with boron increased yield attributes significantly over control except 1000 seed weight (g). Maximum no. of siliqua plant⁻¹ (166.8), no. of seed siliqua⁻¹ (13.4) and 1000 seed weight (5.1 g) were recorded under the treatment T₁₂ [½ of RDN + (1st nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] followed by treatment T₉ [½ of RDN + (1st nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.0 kg B)] with the value 164.7, 13.2 and 5.0 g respectively and the minimum no. of siliqua plant⁻¹ (117.5) no. of seed siliqua⁻¹ (11.7) and 1000 seed weight (3.8 g) was recorded under the treatment T₀ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. These findings are further supported by *Khatkaret al. (2009)*, *Kumar et al. (2020)* and *Choudhary et al. (2023)*

Table no.-3: Effect of different treatment combinations on yield attributes of mustard

Treatment	No. of siliqua plant⁻¹	No. of Seed siliqua⁻¹	1000 seed weight (g)
T₀	117.5	11.7	3.8
T₁	126.4	11.9	3.8
T₂	132.2	12.1	3.9
T₃	140.9	12.4	4.1
T₄	136.7	12.2	4.0
T₅	144.4	12.5	4.2
T₆	152.3	12.8	4.5

T₇	149.5	12.6	4.3
T₈	159.7	13.0	4.7
T₉	164.7	13.2	5.0
T₁₀	156.4	12.9	4.6
T₁₁	161.2	13.1	4.9
T₁₂	166.8	13.4	5.1
S.E.m±	6.19	0.16	0.08
C.D. (P= 0.05)	18.58	0.49	NS

3.3 Productivity Parameters

It was observed that application of nano urea and boron both enhanced the grain yield and straw yield of mustard significantly and present in table no. 4. Maximum grain yield (1523.81 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment T₁₂ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] followed by treatment T₉ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.0 kg B)] with the value 1485.71 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum grain yield (958.73 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment T₀ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. Maximum stover yield (4761.90 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment T₁₂ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] followed by treatment T₉ [½ of RDN + (Ist nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.0 kg B)] with the value 4685.71 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum stover yield (3498.41 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment T₀ [100 % NPK as per RDF]. These findings are further supported by the findings of **Rashid et al. (2012)**, **Sharma et al. (2020)**, **Kumar et al. (2022)** and **Dhaliwal et al., (2022)**

Table no.-4: Effect of different treatment combinations on yields of mustard

Treatment	Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)	Stover yield (kg ha⁻¹)
T₀	958.73	3498.41
T₁	1009.52	3631.74

T₂	1066.67	3771.42
T₃	1155.55	4012.69
T₄	1117.46	3879.36
T₅	1212.70	4133.33
T₆	1346.03	4374.60
T₇	1295.24	4279.36
T₈	1422.22	4539.68
T₉	1485.71	4685.71
T₁₀	1384.13	4457.14
T₁₁	1453.97	4628.57
T₁₂	1523.81	4761.90
S.E.m±	3.64	7.84
C.D. (P= 0.05)	10.75	23.14

5. Conclusion

The experimental results indicated that superiority in regard to growth parameters, yield components and productivity parameters *viz*, grain yield (kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (kg ha⁻¹), with the use of treatment combination T₁₂[½ of RDN + (1st nano-urea spray + 2nd nano-urea spray + 1.25 kg B)] gave in soil ensure highest growth parameters, yield components and productivity, of mustard crop as comparison to all the treatments.

6. References

Anonymous, (2019-20). Rajasthan agricultural statistics at a glance, Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, Rajasthan, 88.

- Anonymous, (2020-21).** Rajasthan agricultural statistics at a glance, Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, Rajasthan, 72.
- Berger, K. C., & Truog, E. (1939).** Boron determination in soils and plants. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, 11(10), 540-545.
- Choudhary, R. S., Mondal, A. K., Sharma, V., Puniya, R., Bhanwaria, R., Yadav, N. K., & Jhahra, S. (2023).** Effect of Organic Manures and Boron Application on Yield Attributes and Yield of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under Jammu Region. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 54(8), 1024-1041.
- Dhaliwal, S. S., Sharma, V., Shukla, A. K., Kaur, M., Verma, V., Sandhu, P. S., & Hossain, A. (2022).** Biofortification of oil quality, yield, and nutrient uptake in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) by foliar application of boron and nitrogen. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 976391.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1984).** *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hanway, J.J; and Heidel, H. (1952).** Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State College, Soil Testing Laboratory. *Iowa Agriculture* 54: 1-31.
- Iqbal, N., Umar, S., & Khan, N. A. (2015).** Nitrogen availability regulates proline and ethylene production and alleviates salinity stress in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 178, 84-91.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973).** Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Khan, A. M., Rajamaniand. A. K. and Reddy, P.K. (2010).** Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality, nutrient content and uptake of sweet sorghum in pongamia based Agri silviculture system. *Ind. J. Dryland Agril. Res. and Dev.* 25(2): 95-99.

- Khatkar, Y., Dawson, J., Zade, K.K., Dixitand, P.M. and Khatkar, R. (2009).** Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.).*Int. J. Agric. Sci.* 5 (2): 396-398.
- Kumar, A., Singh, K., Verma, P., Singh, O., Panwar, A., Singh, T., Kumar, Y. and Raliya, R. (2022).** Effect of nitrogen and zinc nano fertilizer with the organic farming practices on cerealand oil seedcrops.*Scientificreports.* Apr28;12(1):1-7.
- Kumar, Y., Tiwari, K.N., Nayak, R.K., Abhimanyu, R.A., Singh. S.P., Singh, A.N., Toma, H.,Singhand, T. and Raliya, R. (2020).** Nano fertilizers for increasing nutrient use efficiency,yield and economic returns in important winter season crops of Uttar Pradesh.*IndianJournalof Fertilisers* 16 (8): 772-786.
- Masum, M. A., Miah, M. N. H., Islam, M. N., Hossain, M. S., Mandal, P. and Chowdhury, A. P. (2019).** Effect of boron fertilization on yield and yield attributes of mustard (var. BARI Sarisha-14). *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 20(02), 1717-1723.
- Moaveni, P. and Kheiri, T. (2011).** In 2nd International Conference on Agricultural and AnimalScience;November25–27,2011 inMaldives.Singapore:IACSITPress22:160–163.
- Naderi, M.R. and Danesh-Shahraki, A. (2013).** Nano fertilizers and their roles in sustainableagriculture.*InternationalJournal ofAgricultureandCrop Sciences*5,2229–2232.
- Olsen, S.R, Cole, C.V., Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954).** Estimation of available phosphorous in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA, Cric.* **930**:19- 23
- Panwar, J. J., Bhargav, N. A., Akhtar, M.S. and Yun, Y.S. (2012).** Positive effect of zinc oxidenanoparticlesontomatoplants:asteptowardsdeveloping“Nanofertilizers”.In:Proceedings of 3rd international conference on environmental research and technology(ICERT),Penang, Malaysia. 348-352.
- Radhamani, J., Singh, R., Srinivasan, K., & Tyagi, R. K. (2013).** Conservation of trait-specific germplasm of brassicas in National Genebank. *National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, 114.*

- Rashid, M. H., Hasan, M. M., Ahmed, M., Rahman, M. T., & Rahman, K. A. M. M. (2012).** Response of mustard to boron fertilization. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 37(4), 677-682.
- Satyanarayana, U., Singh, R., Chhetri, P. and Singh, E. (2021).** Effect of Nutrient Combinations on Growth, Yield and Quality of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 10(02): 411-417.
- Shagata, I.S., Mohammed, A.S. P., Mohammed, A.S., Moshtari, A.M., Mohammed, A.H., Biswas, A. and Mohammed, A. H. (2020).** Effects of nitrogenous fertilizer on growth and yield of Mustard Green. *Tropical Plant Research* 7(1): 30–36.
- Sharma, S., Chaudhray, S., & Singh, R. (2020).** Effect of boron and sulphur on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(4), 1998-2001.
- Shorna, S. I., Polash, M. A. S., Sakil, M. A., Mou, M. A., Hakim, M. A., Biswas, A., & Hossain, M. A. (2020).** Effects of nitrogenous fertilizer on growth and yield of Mustard Green. *Tropical Plant Research*, 7(1), 30-36.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, C.L. (1956).** A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in Soil. *Curr. Sci.* 25:259-260.
- Subramanian, K. S., Manikandan, A., Thirunavukkarasu, M. and Rahale, C. S. (2015).** Nanofertilizers for balanced crop nutrition. In: Rai, M, Ribeiro, C., Mattaso, L. and Duran, N.(eds) Nanotechnologies in food and agriculture. Springer, Switzerland, 69-80.
- Sugunan, A. and Duttu, J. (2008).** IEEE international conference on semiconductor electronics A6-A11.
- Walkley, A. and Black, C. S.A. (1934).** Old piper, S.S. soil and plant analysis. *Soil Sci.* 37:29-38.