

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106559
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PLANT GROWTH PROMOTER - PULSE MAGIC APPLICATION ON PIGEONPEA PRODUCTION IN KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Empirical Paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is significant for scientific community, plus the government/policy makers, as the findings show the benefits of pulse magic application to the pigeonpea farmers. Hence, this application should be commercialized in the industry to increase the profitability performance among them, surely with the aid/subsidies/policies from the policy makers/government. 2. Yes, the title is suitable for the article. It represents the main contents of the article. 3. The abstract needs a major revision. Suggestions for improvement are included in the Option/General comments below. 4. The subsection and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but need some improvements. The author should number each section and subsection into appropriate headings. The suggestions for improvement are provided in the Option/General comments below. 5. Overall, I can say that the manuscript is 90% correct. There are some components are missing, in which I notice in the Option/General comments below. 6. Frankly, the references are not sufficient and not recent. Some notes on references I provide in the Option/General comments below. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications, but low proficiency. Additionally, there are some grammatical errors and vulnerable sentence structure in the article. Thus, I humbly suggest the author proofread this article appropriately to reach a standard English proficiency for a journal article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>First of all, congratulations for your success in producing a significant paper in your area. Overall, I observe that your article provides clear issues and objectives, which lead to its acceptance in this journal. Though, throughout my review, I found some errors that need some corrections and suggestions for enhancement. Please find as below:</p> <p>ABSTRACT Practically, definitions of any terms cannot be included in the abstract. In the abstract, should have: purpose/objective, design/methodology/approach, findings, research limitations/implications, practical implications, social implications, and originality/value. Other information in your current abstract, please remove and can be put in the Introduction.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paragraph 4, 5, 6 missed Tab at the heading of paragraphs. 2. Facts and figures (data/statistics) in paragraph 2, 3 and other related paragraphs do not have references/citations. Please note that every facts/statistics/data must be supported by the references, and the references should not be more than 5 years back. The recent the better. 3. As this article emphasize on Pulse Magic, I found that the information about this application is lack in the section. Please add some more important information on Pulse Magic, that lead to the existence of your research. <p>LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This section is not available in your article. In every research, reviewing the literature is a must process. 2. In this section, please include literature study on Pulse Magic, specifically any other 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>previous studies on Pulse Magic and what are the findings.</p> <p>3. Basically, in literature review, author discusses on 'what, where, when, and how' the related previous researches take place.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <p>1. Scientifically, the author used the appropriate methods for the study, and they are presented in a well sequence. However, the English language in this section does not reach level of research paper. Hence, I suggest the author to improve the English, and remember, it is best to have same 'voice' of language style throughout the article.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>1. The 1st paragraph of this section is not clear in terms of explanation and how it is related to the components discussed below. In order to enhance reader's understanding, can use numbering for each heading. Please improve as per suggested.</p> <p>IMPLICATIONS</p> <p>1. Implications of research must come before conclusion. It must be written in paragraphs format. The implications normally are divided into theoretical, practical and social implications. This included the implications to the farmers. Please categorized accordingly, as how the author learns and applies in the thesis. The article contributes strongly if these implications are addressed.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>1. The existing conclusion is too short. The conclusion should contain the summary of findings, limitations of study and recommendations for future research.</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>1. The references are insufficient. An average article must contain at least 25-30, while a good article can contain up to 40 references. The more you referred, the stronger the foundation of the article.</p> <p>2. The references are not recent. References for issues, problems, statistics especially must be within 5 years of current year.</p> <p>3. The format of reference the author used is unstandardized throughout the article.</p> <p>4. Thus, kindly refer to the Journal's requirement and follow which type of formatting accepted by the Journal, and please make it standardize, both in-article citations and Reference section.</p> <p>That's all. May the comments and suggestions given help the author improves the value of the article. I wish you all the best for the graduation and job interview. May the author succeed with flying colours. Thank you.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Fadiyah Mohd Zawawi
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia