

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106559
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PLANT GROWTH PROMOTER - PULSE MAGIC APPLICATION ON PIGEONPEA PRODUCTION IN KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to identify the current problem gap that exists in the production of pigeonpea in Karnataka</li> <li>• Lack of past studies been reviewed</li> <li>• The methodological part needs to have reasons for each of its chosen instrumental tools etc</li> <li>• The results were not presented in a clear manner. Eg. table form to present its results and analysis</li> <li>• The conclusion needs to have more discussion on how the study can narrow the current research gap (identified in the research problem or background of the study in this case).</li> <li>• The results were presented in tables but at the end of the paper, hence making the reading for the results and discussion part unorganised as the write-up for this part ( difficult to link the numbers/ results stated in this part to the tables intended as there were several tables)</li> <li>• The references need to be arranged in alphabetical order and also ensure that all the cited sources were listed in the references list.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam Chooi Chea
Department, University & Country	Open University Malaysia, Malaysia