

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJRM_106549 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF CULTURE MEDIA AND NEEM CAKE EXTRACT ON THE GROWTH RATE OF THREE SOIL BORNE FUNGI (Trichoderma harzianum, Trichoderma viride and Sclerotium rolfsii) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is relevant, as it has as its theme an important for agriculture and health. The title is appropriate. The abstract is complete, ok. Yes, the section and structure are appropriate. Yes, the manuscript has a coherent scientific logic. Some references are recent, others are very old. I suggest that 75% of references were from the last 5 years. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I suggest avoiding starting sentences with the number quote. e.g.: "[7] posits that Sabouraud Dextrose Agar..." Improve the layout of the tables and figures. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The manuscript is very good, but it need to improve on several points. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Rafael Machado de Araújo Alves |
| Department, University & Country | University Federal of Paraíba, Brazil |