

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_106967
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved Range of Motion and Decreased Complications After Total Knee Arthroplasty with Use of Plethy Recupe Remote Patient Monitoring – A Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-The research is about the comparison of motion in two group of patients. Since the method is far from my speciality, I really do not know if the manuscript is important for scientific community or no.</p> <p>2-Yes, it is.</p> <p>3-Yes, it is, but the (clinical significant) title in abstract is an odd title there. Also, it is better to change the sex with gender.</p> <p>4-Some need revising.</p> <p>5-No idea.</p> <p>6-Yes, but a few are not recent.</p> <p>7-The paragraphs under demographic title should move to result section.</p> <p>8-The comment and description for figures should come below the figures and not above.</p> <p>9-Introduce the tests which were used in result section in methods.</p> <p>10-Do not use from abbreviation as the title (MUA).</p> <p>11-Compure the result of the study with other researches and tell about their similarities and differences.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. It is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Roudbari
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran