

Influence of Different Fertility Levels on Growth and Quality of Pearl millet in Mid Hills of Himachal Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Present study was conducted at Shoolini University, Solan during *Kharif* season 2022 to evaluate Influence of Different Fertility Levels on Growth and Quality of Pearl millet in Mid Hills of Himachal Pradesh. Soil of experimental site was fertile with uniform sandy loam texture, medium in N and K but high in phosphorus availability. The experiment was laid out in RBD comprising of ten treatments (T₁) Control, (T₂) 100% RDF, (T₃) 120% RDF, (T₄) 50% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹, (T₅) 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹, (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹, (T₇) 50% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₈) 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₉) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and (T₁₀) 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and replicate thrice. Pearl millet cultivar PHB-2884 was sown at spacing of 45 × 15 cm with seed rate of 4 kg ha⁻¹. Plant population, plant height, nitrogen content & uptake and protein yield were recorded significantly higher with application of (T₃) 120% RDF which was statistically at par with (T₉) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and (T₂) 100% RDF. However, least values of these characters were recorded under (T₁) control treatment. Findings can be concluded as the significantly higher Growth, and quality produce by pearl millet were observed with application of (T₃) 120% RDF and was at par with (T₂) 100% RDF, (T₉) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatments.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.), is the world's hardest warm season cereal crop (Patelet *et al.*, 2014). It is largely grown for fodder and grain purposes. It is also known as Bajra, Bajri, Sajja, combo or Kambam (Kaur and Goyal, 2019). It is an erect annual grass, reaching up to 3 m height with a profuse root system. Culms are slender, 1-3 cm wide. Leaves are alternate, simple, blade linear, minutely serrated. The inflorescence is a panicle which is 12-30 cm long. Nutritional richness of this crop provides ample opportunity for the creation of value-added goods in new market sectors with a focus on health as due to its higher fiber content and benefits for diabetics and heart patients (Prasad *et al.*, 2014). The grain is more nutrient-dense and has a considerable quantity of phosphorus and iron in addition to 11 to 19 per cent protein, 60 to 78 per cent carbs, and 3.0 per cent to 4.6 per cent fat (Diyva *et al.*, 2017). Additionally, it includes more beta-carotene, riboflavin (vitamin B₂), and niacin (vitamin B₃). It is an important crop in India and parts of Africa and India ranked first in the world in terms of area (7.55 m ha), production of 9.22 million Tones, productivity (1,374 kg ha⁻¹) during 2021-22 (Anonymous, 2021-22a).

In Himachal Pradesh area under pearl millet production is 5 ha and production is 4.5 ton and productivity is 900 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2021-22b). Nitrogen is an important nutrient for the growth and development of plants. It is a very important constituent composed of cellular parts. Numerous additional cellular molecules, including alkaloids, amides, amino acids, proteins, DNA, RNA, enzymes, vitamins, and hormones, include nitrogen as one of its constituent parts. It is the constituent of several enzyme systems which regulate various metabolic reactions in the plant (Khinchi *et al.*, 2018). Phosphorus plays key roles in many plant processes such as energy metabolism, the synthesis of nucleic acids and membranes, photosynthesis, respiration, nitrogen fixation and enzyme regulation. Adequate phosphorus nutrition enhances many aspects of plant growth development including flowering, fruiting, roots growth and yield components of different crops. Phosphorus is essential for all living organisms. Potassium is responsible for opening and closing of stomata and also plays a major role in translocation of nutrients and water throughout the plant parts. Potassium increases the potential and improves the quality of grains (Kumar *et al.*, 2014). Efficiencies of organic manures like vermicompost not only improve or build up soil fertility but also increase the efficiency of chemical fertilizers. Vermicompost is a potent source of both macro and micro nutrients for plants. Additionally, it boosts soil microbial activities and increases the microbiological availability of nitrogen and phosphorus. It has been suggested that vermicompost is an excellent source of organic manure. Farm

yard manure refers to the decomposition of farm animals waste such as mixture of dung and urine along with litter and leftover roughage or fodder material fed to the cattle. There is 0.5 per cent N, 0.2 per cent P₂O₅ and 0.5 per cent K₂O in the decomposed farmyard manure. It transforms inaccessible soil nutrients into usable form and thus the nutrient requirement can be reduced to medium to high level of available nutrient status. FYM boosts the soil's ability to absorb cations and anions, especially phosphates and nitrates. For the advantage of the current crop as well as following crops, these adsorbed ions are slowly released (Amarghade and Singh, 2021). Therefore, in view of the above considerations the present investigation was conducted to study the effect of different fertility levels on growth and productivity of Pearl millet in Mid Hill of Himachal Pradesh.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation reported here was carried out during *kharif* season of 2022 at Chamelti Agriculture Farm, Shoolini University, Solan, Himachal Pradesh which is situated 30 km away from Solan city at an elevation of 1,270 meters above mean sea level lying between latitude 30° 85' 67.30 N and longitude 77° 13' 20.38 E. This region falls under moist subhumid zone of Himachal Pradesh. Climate of this region is generally categorized as sub-humid, sub temperate with cool winters. Generally, December and January months are the coldest while, May and June are the hottest. The average annual rainfall of this is 1039.5 mm. The meteorological data with respect to rainfall, temperature and relative humidity acquired from Automatic Weather Station, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Solan.

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design, comprising of ten treatments (T₁) Control, (T₂) 100% RDF, (T₃) 120% RDF, (T₄) 50% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹, (T₅) 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹, (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹, (T₇) 50% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₈) 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₉) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and (T₁₀) 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and replicate thrice. Pearl millet cultivar PHB-2884 was sown at spacing of 45 × 15 cm with the seed rate of 4 kg ha⁻¹. Soil of experimental field was fertile with uniform texture. Soil was medium in N and K but high in phosphorus availability. The initial plant stand was recorded at 20 DAS and final stand at harvest of crop, this was done by counting the number of plants m⁻² area in linesown plot. To record plant height, height of the five tagged plants in net plot was recorded from the base to the tip of the main shoot at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest stage. To record dry matter accumulation, ten plants randomly selected from each plot and were pulled out at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest stage. The plants were washed out and were allowed to sun dried first and finally oven dried at 65°C for 24 hours up to dry and constant weight and recorded accordingly. Nitrogen content (%) in grain was estimated by Micro-kjeldahl method (Bouyoucos, 1966) and multiplied by conversion factor *i.e.* 6.25 suggested by A.O.A.C (1970) to obtain protein content (%) in grain. Protein yielding in grain (kg ha⁻¹) was calculated by multiplying the protein content (%) in grain with their respective yields. In order to test the significance of result, standard statistical method based on the analysis of variance technique as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984) were employed. The treatments differences were compared with the critical difference (CD) at 5% level of significance to ascertain their significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

Plant Population (m⁻²)

Plant population was recorded at 20 DAS and at harvest, the data indicated that numbers of plants per net plot at initial stage and at harvest were found to be non-significant, during the course of investigation where maximum plant population was recorded under (T₃) and minimum was under (T₁) control treatments.

Plant height (cm)

The data on plant height at different stages of observations under different fertility indicates that irrespective of treatments, height of pearl millet plant increased with the advancement of the crop age. Tallest plants were recorded with application of (T₃) 120% RDF at 30 DAS which was statistically at par with application of (T₉) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and (T₂) 100% RDF. Moreover, treatments (T₁₀), (T₈) and (T₅) were found statistically at par with (T₂) 100% RDF. Similar trend was observed at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest. However, the

lowest plant height was recorded under control treatment. It might be due to the positive effect of nutrients on plant height throughout the crop growth period. Nitrogen has essential functions in plant life *viz.*, its role in rapid multiplication and expansion of plant cells and increase in amount of growth substances such as naturally occurring Phytohormones, photosynthesis rate and increase level of auxin supply, Phosphorus stimulates root development and increase stalk and stem strength and potassium regulates the opening and closing of stomata and also plays a major role in the regulation of water and nutrients in plants, with higher level of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium might have brought about a significant increase in plant height. It was also reported that increase in plant height with nitrogen fertilizer was due to the fact that nitrogen promotes number of internodes and increase length of the internodes which results in progressive increase in plant height. Similar were also reported by Kumawat *et al.* (2016); Kumar *et al.* (2017) and Khinchiet *al.* (2018).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Plant population (m⁻²) of pearl millet as influenced by different fertility levels

Treatments	Plantpopulation(m ⁻²)	
	20DAS	Atharvest
T ₁ : Control	14.51	14.16
T ₂ : 100% RDF	14.69	14.34
T ₃ : 120% RDF	14.73	14.38
T ₄ : 50% RDF+FYM(10 t ha ⁻¹)	14.52	14.17
T ₅ : 75% RDF+FYM(5t ha ⁻¹)	14.57	14.22
T ₆ : 100% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	14.69	14.35
T ₇ : 50% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	14.56	14.21
T ₈ : 75% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	14.59	14.25
T ₉ : 100% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	14.70	14.36
T ₁₀ : 75% RDF+FYM (5t ha ⁻¹) +Vermicompost (2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	14.63	14.28
SEm(±)	0.05	0.05
CD(p=0.5)	NS	NS

Table 2: Plantheight(cm)ofpearl milletasinfluencedbydifferentfertilitylevelsatperiodicintervals

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	Atharvest
T ₁ : Control	30.47	84.60	180.82	181.63
T ₂ : 100%RDF	41.80	129.67	209.70	216.90
T ₃ : 120%RDF	44.50	136.86	223.18	226.00
T ₄ : 50% RDF+FYM(10 t ha ⁻¹)	37.51	119.79	191.47	196.45
T ₅ : 75%RDF+FYM(5t ha ⁻¹)	38.42	123.52	198.56	201.90
T ₆ : 100% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	43.97	134.30	219.21	220.25
T ₇ : 50% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	39.17	121.10	195.42	198.69
T ₈ : 75% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	38.78	123.60	200.80	202.39
T ₉ : 100% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	43.98	134.49	221.99	223.20
T ₁₀ : 75%RDF+FYM (5t ha ⁻¹) +Vermicompost (2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	39.37	124.36	201.88	203.90
SEm(±)	1.38	3.51	6.83	7.03
CD(p=0.5)	4.11	10.42	20.29	20.91

Table 3: Dry matter accumulation (g m^{-2}) of pearl millet as influenced by different fertility levels at periodic intervals

Treatments	Dry matter accumulation(gm^{-2})			
	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	Atharvest
T ₁ : Control	27.54	173.82	259.50	288.10
T ₂ : 100%RDF	61.08	282.20	455.30	510.56
T ₃ : 120%RDF	70.00	308.28	515.60	579.18
T ₄ : 50% RDF+FYM(10 t ha ⁻¹)	35.86	210.76	310.10	345.50
T ₅ : 75%RDF+FYM(5t ha ⁻¹)	56.51	253.23	425.73	478.61
T ₆ : 100% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	66.58	297.54	492.14	550.15
T ₇ : 50% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	39.87	212.60	337.78	373.24
T ₈ : 75% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	57.67	256.65	426.26	479.95
T ₉ : 100% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	68.50	304.21	508.50	571.10
T ₁₀ : 75%RDF+FYM (5t ha ⁻¹) +Vermicompost (2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	58.68	261.85	431.90	483.72
SEm(\pm)	3.01	8.78	10.75	13.11
CD(p=0.5)	8.95	26.10	31.95	38.95

Table 4: Nitrogen content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) of pearl millet as influenced by different fertility levels

Treatments	Nitrogencontent(%)		Nitrogenuptake(kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Grain	Stover	Grain	Stover	Total
T ₁ : Control	1.43	0.99	8.88	30.44	39.32
T ₂ : 100%RDF	2.03	1.28	31.20	66.54	97.74
T ₃ : 120%RDF	2.08	1.32	35.20	71.40	106.60
T ₄ : 50% RDF+FYM(10 t ha ⁻¹)	1.77	1.02	16.28	38.69	54.98
T ₅ : 75%RDF+FYM(5t ha ⁻¹)	1.98	1.23	26.52	61.53	88.05
T ₆ : 100% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	2.04	1.29	32.75	68.55	101.30
T ₇ : 50% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	1.84	1.05	18.37	42.80	61.17
T ₈ : 75% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	1.99	1.24	26.96	61.81	88.76
T ₉ : 100% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	2.06	1.31	33.45	70.30	103.75
T ₁₀ : 75%RDF+FYM (5t ha ⁻¹) +Vermicompost (2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	2.00	1.25	27.71	61.82	89.54
SEm(±)	0.02	0.01	1.35	2.35	3.12
CD(p=0.5)	0.07	0.05	4.02	7.01	9.29

Table 5: Qualitative characters of pearl millet as influenced by different fertility levels

Treatments	Qualitative characters	
	Protein content (%)	Protein yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Control	8.92	55.50
T ₂ : 100% RDF	12.69	195.0 1
T ₃ : 120% RDF	13.00	220.0 0
T ₄ : 50% RDF+FYM(10 t ha ⁻¹)	11.06	101.7 8
T ₅ : 75% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	12.38	165.7 4
T ₆ : 100% RDF+FYM(5 t ha ⁻¹)	12.75	204.6 8
T ₇ : 50% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	11.50	114.8 1
T ₈ : 75% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	12.44	168.4 7

T ₉ : 100% RDF+Vermicompost(2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	12.85	209.0 6
T ₁₀ : 75%RDF+FYM (5t ha ⁻¹) +Vermicompost (2.5 t ha ⁻¹)	12.50	173.2 1
SEm(±)	0.15	8.45
CD(p=0.5)	0.46	25.13

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Dry matter accumulation (gm^{-2})

Application of 120% RDF resulted in significantly higher dry matter accumulation was statistically at par with application of (T_9) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, (T_6) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and (T_2) 100% RDF. Moreover, treatments (T_{10}), (T_8) and (T_5) were found statistically at par with (T_2) 100% RDF. Similar trend was observed at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest. However, the lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded under control treatment during the course of study. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium might have helped the crop for maximum utilization of available nutrients which enhanced the vegetative growth of pearl millet leading to maximum utilization of solar radiation contributing to the high rate of photosynthesis which had favourable influence on higher accumulation of photosynthates and finally dry matter accumulation. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Ayub *et al.* (2009) and Ganapati and Guggari (2018) who reported that, forage and dry matter yields of pearl millet were increased significantly with each increased rate of nitrogen.

3.2 Qualitative Characters

Nutrient content (%) and their uptake ($kg ha^{-1}$)

Nitrogen content in grain and stover were affected significantly by various fertility levels. Application of (T_3) treatment 120% RDF gained maximum nitrogen content in grain (2.08 %) and stover (1.32 %) which was statistically at par with treatment T_9 , T_6 and T_2 . However, the lowest nitrogen content in grain and straw (1.43 and 0.99 %, respectively) was recorded with control treatment (T_1). Nitrogen content in grain and stover were affected significantly by various fertility levels. Application of (T_3) treatment 120% RDF gained maximum nitrogen content in grain (2.08%) and stover (1.32%) which was statistically at par with treatment (T_9), (T_6) and (T_2). However, the lowest nitrogen content in grain and straw (1.43 and 0.99 %, respectively) was recorded with control treatment (T_1). This could be due to the fact that the nitrogen fertilization increases the cation exchange capacity of plant roots and makes them more efficient to absorb the nutrients and exert favorable effects of nitrogen on growth parameters and yield attributes, which resulted in higher grain and stover yields and consequently more nitrogen uptake by the crop. Also, application of higher dose of Nitrogen and Phosphorus is responsible for better root and shoots development, which in turn increased potash uptake. The findings are in accordance with those of Chaudhary and Gautam (2007); Rao *et al.* (2007); Choudhary and Prabhu (2014); and Khinchi *et al.* (2018).

Protein content (%) and protein Yield ($kg ha^{-1}$)

Protein content in grain and protein yield was influenced by different fertility levels during both the year of study. Highest protein content in grain (13%) and protein yield was recorded with the application of (T_3) 120% RDF and it was found statistically at par with (T_9), (T_6) and (T_2). However, the control treatment recorded lowest value of protein content in grain (8.92%) and protein yield. Protein content in grain and protein yield was influenced by different fertility levels, higher protein content in grain (13%) was recorded with the application of (T_3) 120% RDF and was statistically at par with (T_2) 100% RDF. Higher protein yield (220 Kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with the application of (T_3) 120% RDF and was statistically at par with (T_9) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹. However, the control treatment recorded lowest value of protein content in grain (8.92%) and protein yield (55.50 Kg ha⁻¹). Nitrogen had positive effect on protein content of grain. Nitrogen is an important constituent of protein, which always gives a marked promoting influence on protein synthesis by way of promoting synthesis of amino acid, which are constituent building blocks of protein. The results are in accordance with those reported by Ayub *et al.* (2002); Rathore *et al.* (2006); Jadhav *et al.* (2011) and Prasad *et al.* (2014b).

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of finding of the experiment, it can be concluded that the significantly higher Growth, and quality produce by pearl millet were observed with application of (T_3) 120% RDF and was at par with (T_2) 100% RDF, (T_9) 100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and (T_6) 100% RDF + FYM @ 5

t ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatments.

References:

1. Anonymous. All India Coordinated Research Project on Pearl Millet, 2021-22a Jodhpur-342304 (www.aicpmio.res.in).
2. Anonymous. Himachal Pradesh, Department of Agriculture (agriculture.hp.gov.in). 2021-22b. 1962;54:464-465.
3. O.A.A.C. *Methods of Analysis*, Association of official analytical chemistry, Washington, D.C.(USA). 1970;1(1)216.
4. Ali EA. Grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency of pearl millet as affected by plant density, nitrogen rate and splitting in sandy soil. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science*. 2010;7(3): 327-335.
5. Amarghade N, Singh R. Effect of inorganic and organic sources of nutrient on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(10):507-509.
6. Ayub M, Nadeem MA, Tahir M, Ibrahim M, Aslam MN. Effect of nitrogen application and harvesting interval on forage yield and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*. 2009;7(2):185-189.
7. Ayub M, Tanveer A, Ali S, Nadeem MA. Effect of different nitrogen levels and seed rates on growth, yield and quality of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) fodder. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2002;72(11): 648-656.
8. Black CA. *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2*. American Society of Agronomy. Publication Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 1965.
9. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method for making particle size analysis of soil. *Agronomy Journal*.
10. Divya G, Vani KP, Babu PS, Devi KBS. Impact of cultivars and integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of summer pearl millet. *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture*. 2017;3(7): 2394-5532.
11. Ganapati G, Guggari AK. Effect of nitrogen levels and modified urea on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) under rainfed condition. *Journal of Farm Sciences*. 2018;31(3):280-283.
12. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*, IRRI. A Wiley Pub., New York. 1984;1(1): 199-201.
13. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis*. Pentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1973;498:151-154.
14. Jadhav RP, Khafi HR, Raj AD. Effect of nitrogen and vermi-compost on protein content and nutrient uptake in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* L. R.Br. Emend Stuntz]. *Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal*. 2011;31(4): 319-321
15. Joshi MP, Pankhaniya, RM, Mohammadi NK. Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) to levels and scheduling of nitrogen under south Gujarat condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6(1):32-35.
16. Kaur M, Goyal M. Influence of different nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of forage Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) genotypes. *Forage Research*. 2019;45(1):43-46.
17. Khinchi V, Kumawat SM, Arif M. Forage growth and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and Zinc levels in hyper arid region of Rajasthan. *Rangeland Management Agroforestry*. 2018;39(2): 237-242.

18. KumarA, KumarM, KumarN. Response of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(9):1386-1390.
19. KumarA, SinghB, SinghJ. Pearl millet hybrids/varieties performance as affected by nitrogen fertilization under rainfed situations of south-west Haryana. Haryana Journal of Agronomy. 2005;21(2):196-197.
20. KumarP, Kumar R, SinghSK, KumarA. Effect of fertility on growth, yield and yield attributes of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) under rainfed condition. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2014;2(2): 89-93.
21. KumawatSM, MohammedA, ShekhawatSS, KantwaSR. Effect of nitrogen and cutting management on growth, yield and quality of fodder pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) cultivars. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2016;37: 207-213.
22. MeenaR, GautamRC. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, nutrient uptake and moisture use function of pearl millet. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015;50:305-307.
23. OlsenSR, ColeCV, WatanabeFS. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Open Journal of Soil Science. 1954;4(3). 155-159.
24. PatelPR, PatelBJ, VyasKG, YadavBL. Effect of integrated nitrogen management and bio-fertilizer in kharif pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2014;5(2): 122-125.
25. PrasadSK, SamotaA, SinghMK, VermaSK. Cultivars and nitrogen levels influence on yield attributes, yield and protein content of pearl millet under semi-under condition of Vidhyan region. An International Quarterly Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2014(b);6(1):47-50.
26. PrasadSK, Singh, MK, SinghR. Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizer on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) under agri-horti system of eastern Uttar Pradesh. The Bioscan. 2014;9(1): 163-166.
27. RathoreVS, SinghP, GautamRC. Productivity and water-use efficiency of rainfed pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) as influenced by planting patterns and integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006;51(1): 46-48.
28. SinhaBL, ChauhanSK, PradhanMK. Effect of tillage and nitrogen on growth and yield of pearl millet under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Soil Conservation. 2011;3:220-225.
29. SubbiahBV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils 1973.