

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_107032 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of Genetic variability and Character association in Fennel (<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.) Germplasm |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community especially in efforts related to crop improvement for the various heritable traits.</p> <p>The title is fine and representative of the study conducted and the analysis done.</p> <p>The abstract lacks conclusions and recommendations</p> <p>The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Some of the references are very old for instance Robinson <i>et al.</i> (1949), Johnson (1955), Kathiria <i>et al.</i> (1980). For all citations below the year 2000, author should find more recent references.</p> <p>Authors should add conclusions and recommendations to the scientific community based on the findings reported in the manuscript.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language/English is generally suitable except a few grammatical checks.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Authors should include the ANOVA table in the results</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Ronald Kityo |
| Department, University & Country | Ndejje University, Uganda |