

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_107144
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON RECURRENT EPISODES OF DYSELECTROLYTEMIA DIAGNOSED AS GITLEMAN SYNDROME.
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, The case report is well-detailed and includes relevant patient history, clinical presentation, laboratory findings, and diagnostic steps.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content of the case study.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a concise summary of the case, its background, and key findings. However, it could be improved by mentioning the patient's response to treatment and the long-term outlook for the patient.</p> <p>4. Consider including a subsection that highlights the treatment approach and the patient's response to treatment, including any follow-up information.</p> <p>5. Yes, The case study appears scientifically correct, and the diagnostic process is well-explained.</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Clarity and Organization: The case study is well-organized with clear sections, making it easy to follow the flow of information from background to diagnosis and treatment.</p> <p>Comprehensive Background: The introduction provides a comprehensive overview of Gitelman syndrome, its genetic basis, prevalence, and clinical manifestations. It sets the stage for understanding the case.</p> <p>Detailed Case Presentation: The case report itself is detailed, including the patient's medical history, symptoms, physical examination findings, and laboratory results. This thorough presentation is essential for readers to understand the context of the diagnosis.</p> <p>Scientific Terminology: The use of scientific and medical terminology is appropriate for the target audience. However, consider providing explanations or definitions for complex terms to make the study accessible to a broader readership.</p> <p>Discussion of Differential Diagnosis: The discussion appropriately highlights the differential diagnosis and explains the reasoning for suspecting Gitelman syndrome over other conditions. This adds depth to the analysis.</p> <p>Genetic Testing and Diagnosis: The inclusion of genetic testing results and their confirmation of the Gitelman syndrome diagnosis is a strong point. It adds scientific validity to the case report.</p> <p>Treatment Approach: The description of the treatment approach and the transition from intravenous to oral medications is valuable for understanding how the patient's condition was managed.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion succinctly summarizes the case and emphasizes the importance of clinic</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivakumar S Inamdar
Department, University & Country	RGUHS, MTRIPS, India