

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_107136
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nano urea and foliar spray of urea on growth and yield of wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is useful to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not appropriate. It is similar previous report. Author should adjust the title.</p> <p>3. The Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. Introduction, Materials &amp; Methods, Results and Discussion are considered plagiarism, whether it's one's own or someone else's. Please rewrite these sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and not current. Please follow template of Journal. All citations in the text must match in the list of references at the end of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>- The language/English of the article is suitable for academic communication. However, the written language is similar and redundant with other people's works (War et al., 2020; Vadlamudi et al., 2022) in a way that plagiarism is considered unethical in academics. It is recommended that the author re-edit this article to reduce the similarity and repetition of other people's work.</p> <p>- Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>- Please follow the manuscript template of Journal.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruchuon Wanna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharakham University, Thailand</b>