

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_106236
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE WASTE MANAGEMENT ACROSS DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF HEALTH FACILITIES IN THE LIMBE HEALTH DISTRICT.
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it reveals current practices in Health Care waste management in Africa and the significant roles of education and training for health care professionals towards effective HCWM.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p><b>Additional suggestions /Comments:</b></p> <p>In using Cochran's sampling formula  <math display="block">n = \frac{Z^2 \alpha P (1-P)}{d^2}</math> <math display="block">n = \frac{1,96^2 * 0,293 (1-0,293)}{0,05^2} = 318</math>, you assumed the estimated proportion of the attribute in the chosen population (P) to be 29.3 % (0.293). This should be explained.</p> <p>Table 1 on socio-demographic characteristics of participants should be properly aligned. For instance, 'Anesthetist' appears under 'Working Unit' and again under 'Profession'. And there are no figures for 'microbiologist'. I suppose that number 116 represents the number of respondents who had diplomas, and 17 had Masters/PhD. So, please organize the table properly. I think this applies to some of the other tables as well.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality is fairly suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Robert Ohene Adu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sunyani Technical University, Ghana</b>