

# **RISK FACTORS FOR CONGENITAL HEART DEFECTS AMONG NEONATES IN PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA**

## **Abstract**

**Introduction:** Congenital Heart Defects (CHDs) are the most common congenital anomalies in the world but there is a paucity of data regarding possible causes or risk factors. Babies born with severe forms of these defects are likely to die in the neonatal period.

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to determine the risk factors for CHDs among neonates delivered in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

**Methods:** Using a case control study design, 150 neonates were included in the study. Fifty of the neonates with CHD and 100 controls were selected from the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Obio Cottage Hospital and Primary Health Centre Rumuigbo between November 2019 to March 2020. The biodata of the parents and socio-demographic information were obtained through an interviewer-administered questionnaire to the mothers. Physical examination and echocardiography were performed on all the neonates. The data obtained were analyzed using the T-test, Spearman correlation coefficient and multi-variable logistic regression.

**Results:** Based on the results of multivariate logistic regression, the risk factors identified in this study were proximity to oil/gas flaring station, history of diabetes in pregnancy, high birth order and use of pregnacare during pregnancy.( $p < 0.05$ )

The study observed no significant difference in gender of neonates, gestational age status, birth weight, socioeconomic status, family history of CHD, advanced maternal age, fever in pregnancy, mode of conception, ingestion of alcohol during pregnancy, and gestational plurality.

## **Conclusion**

Risk factors for CHD in Port Harcourt include diabetes mellitus in pregnancy, use of supplements such as pregnacare in pregnancy, high birth order and proximity to oil/gas station.

Key word: Congenital Heart Defects, Neonates, Congenital Anomalies, Cardiology

## **INTRODUCTION**

“Congenital heart defects are the most common forms of major birth defects and account for a third of all major congenital abnormalities in the world”.<sup>1,2</sup> “Babies born with severe forms of these defects are twelve times more likely to die in the first year of life especially if the defect is missed in the neonatal period”.<sup>3,4</sup>

Risk factors associated with CHD could be genetic or environmental.<sup>5,6</sup> About 30% of children with chromosomal abnormalities have congenital heart defects.<sup>7</sup> Congenital heart defects have been found to be due to single gene defects and chromosomal aneuploidy.<sup>7</sup> Nearly a hundred percent of children with Edward syndrome and fifty percent of those with Down syndrome have CHDs.<sup>7</sup> Other genetic syndromes known to be associated with CHD include Noonan, Holt-Oram, Di Georges, Alagille, William-Beuren syndrome etc.<sup>6,8</sup> Non genetic risk factors include advanced maternal age, infections, diabetes Mellitus, phenylketonuria, alcohol ingestion, parental smoking, consanguinity, teratogenic drugs, organic solvents, pesticides, carbon monoxide exposure, particulate matter, ionizing radiation and socio-demographic factors.<sup>9,10</sup>

“The city of Port Harcourt, situated in the Niger Delta region is known for its oil exploration activities. Due to weak environmental laws, it is highly polluted”.<sup>11</sup> “Gas flaring poses a potential threat to inhabitants of Port Harcourt as maternal exposure to these chemicals

(hydrocarbons, organic solvents, air pollutants) especially in the first trimester is a potential risk factor for CHD".<sup>10</sup> This study was structured to identify the risk factors for CHD in Port Harcourt.

## **METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was carried out among neonates delivered in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. It was done over a five-month period in the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Obio Cottage Hospital and Primary Health Centre Rumuigbo from November 1<sup>st</sup> 2019 to March 30<sup>th</sup> 2020. Port Harcourt is the capital and largest city of Rivers State Nigeria. It is located in the Niger Delta region and is a major oil-producing city.<sup>12</sup> It is home to the first **oil** refinery in Nigeria.<sup>12</sup> The study population consisted of neonates  $\geq 28$  weeks of gestation aged 0-7 days delivered in selected hospitals in Port Harcourt. Preterm neonates with solitary Patent Ductus Arteriosus and all neonates with an isolated Patent Foramen Ovale were excluded. The study was carried out in the neonatal and immunization units of the Hospitals. [33]

## **DATA COLLECTION**

Data was collected using pretested interviewer-administered questionnaires. All the babies recruited into the study had a physical examination and echocardiograph done. A portable SONOSITE MICRO MAXX transthoracic echocardiograph machine with an 8-4MHz transducer was used and echocardiography was done by the researcher according to the American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines for performance of paediatric echocardiography.<sup>13</sup> Neonates with CHD were referred to the Paediatric Cardiologist at UPTH for follow up. The data collected were entered and analyzed using the Statistical

Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 25 and p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. [33]

## RESULTS

There were 150 neonates included in the study, 50 neonates with CHD and 100 controls. Table 1 presents the biodata of neonates and parents. The study observed no significant difference in gender of neonates, socioeconomic status and family history of CHD ( $p>0.05$ ). Birth order and maternal age showed a statistically significant association with CHD status of neonates. Forty-two percent (42.55%) of neonates with birth order third child or more had CHD compared to those who are either a first or second child ( $p=0.043$ ). Also, mothers 35 years and older ( $\geq 35$  years) had more children with CHD compared to those less than 35 years ( $<35$  years) (50.0% vs. 29.51%,  $p=0.039$ ). The distribution of CHD among neonates was thus significantly associated with the mother's age ( $p\text{-value} <0.05$ ).

**Table 1: Biodata of neonates and parents**

Variables	CHD Status		Total	$\chi^2$	p-value
	Present	Absent			
	(n=50) n(%)	(n=100) n(%)			
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	26 (31.33)	57 (68.67)	83 (100.0)	0.17	0.684
Female	24 (35.82)	43 (64.18)	67 (100.0)		
<b>Birth Order</b>					
First Child	22 (36.67)	38 (63.33)	60 (100)	6.30	<b>0.043*</b>
Second Child	8 (18.60)	35 (81.40)	43 (100)		
Third child or more	20 (42.55)	27 (57.45)	47 (100)		
<b>Maternal Age</b>					
$\geq 35$ years	14 (50.0)	14 (50.0)	28 (100.0)	4.27	<b>0.039*</b>
$<35$ years	36 (29.51)	86 (70.49)	122 (100)		
<b>Socio-economic status</b>					
Lower	33 (35.87)	59 (64.13)	92 (100.0)	0.609 <sup>y</sup>	
Middle	13 (27.66)	34 (72.34)	47 (100.0)		
Upper	4 (36.36)	7 (63.64)	11 (100.0)		

**Family History of  
CHD**

Yes	2 (28.57)	5 (71.43)	7 (100.0)	1.000 <sup>γ</sup>
No	48 (33.57)	95 (66.43)	143 (100.0)	

---

\*Statistically significant (p<0.05);  $\chi^2$ =Chi-Square;  $\mu$ =Student t-test,  $\gamma$ =Fisher's Exact p

Table 2 presents the neonatal characteristics. The study showed no statistically significant difference in gestational age status, gestational weight classification, and CHD status ( $p>0.05$ ). Gestational plurality and low birth weight showed a statistically significant association with CHD status. Seventy-one percent (71.43%) of mothers with multiple births ( $p=0.041$ ) and 54.55% of neonates with low birth weight ( $p=0.041$ ) had CHD compared to mothers that gave birth to singleton babies (31.47%).

**Table 2: neonatal Characteristics**

Variables	CHD Status		Total	$\chi^2$	p-value
	Present	Absent			
	(n=50) n(%)	(n=100) n(%)			
<b>Gestational Plurality</b>					
Multiple	5 (71.43)	2 (28.57)	7 (100.0)		<b>0.041*</b> <sup>γ</sup>
Singleton	45 (31.47)	98 (68.53)	143 (100.0)		
<b>Gestational age status</b>					
Preterm	8 (42.11)	11 (57.89)	19 (100.0)	0.37	0.543
Term	42 (32.06)	89 (67.94)	131 (100.0)		
<b>Low Birth Weight</b>					
Yes	12 (54.55)	10 (45.45)	22 (100.0)	4.16	<b>0.041*</b>
No	38 (29.69)	90 (70.31)	128 (100.0)		

\*Statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ );  $\chi^2$ =Chi-Square;  $\gamma$ =Fisher's Exact p

Table 3 presents the maternal risk factors of CHD. The study showed no statistically significant difference with fever and CHD status ( $p>0.05$ ). Mode of conception, proximity to oil/gas flaring station, history of diabetes in pregnancy and use of Pregnacare during pregnancy showed a statistically significant association with CHD status.

**Table 3: Maternal risk factors of CHD**

Variables	CHD Status		Total	$\chi^2$	p-value
	Present (n=50) n(%)	Absent (n=100) n(%)			
<b>Conception mode</b>					
Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART)	7 (70.0)	3 (30.0)	10 (100.0)		<b>0.016*<math>\gamma</math></b>
Natural conception	43 (30.71)	97 (69.29)	140 (100.0)		
<b>Proximity to Oil /Gas Flaring Station</b>					
Yes	35 (42.17)	48 (57.83)	83 (100.0)	5.67	<b>0.017*</b>
No	15 (22.39)	52 (77.61)	67 (100.0)		
<b>History of Diabetes in Pregnancy</b>					
Yes	5 (83.33)	1 (16.67)	6 (100.0)		<b>0.016*<math>\gamma</math></b>
No	45 (31.25)	99 (68.75)	144 (100.0)		
<b>Fever in Pregnancy</b>					
Yes	13 (39.39)	20 (60.61)	33 (100.0)	0.39	0.531
No	37 (31.62)	80 (68.38)	117 (100.0)		
<b>Use of Pregnacare during Pregnancy</b>					
Yes	8 (61.54)	5 (38.46)	13 (100.0)	3.80	<b>0.051*</b>
No	42 (30.66)	95 (69.34)	137 (100.0)		
<b>Ingestion of Alcohol during Pregnancy</b>					
Yes	5 (35.71)	9 (64.29)	14 (100.0)	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.000</b>
No	45 (33.09)	91 (66.91)	136 (100.0)		

\*Statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ );  $\chi^2$ =Chi-Square;  $\gamma$ =Fisher's Exact p;

Table 4 presents a logistic regression model of the risk factors of CHD in the study population. The regression analysis after adjusting for confounding variables showed that neonates with birth order third child or more (AOR=2.72;  $p=0.048$ ; 95%CI: 1.0-7.31) were at risk for CHD. Other factors observed with increased risk for CHD were residential proximity to or an oil/gas flaring station (AOR=2.34;  $p=0.023$ ; 95%CI: 1.12-4.85), maternal diabetes (AOR=13.71;  $p=0.019$ ; 95%CI: 1.54-122.02), and use of pregnacare in the index pregnancy (AOR=3.60;  $p=0.035$ ; 95%CI: 1.09-11.86).

**Table 4: Multivariable results for associated risk factors of CHD in the neonates**

Variables	Model I		Model II	
	cOR [95% CI]	P-value	aOR [95% CI]	P-value
<b>Birth Order</b>				
First Child <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Second Child	0.78 [0.36-1.71]	0.536	1.04 [0.46-2.39]	
Third or more	0.31 [0.12-0.81]	<b>0.017*</b>	2.72 [1.0-7.31]	<b>0.048*</b>
<b>Maternal Age</b>				
<35 years <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
≥35 years	2.39 [1.04-5.52]	<b>0.041*</b>	2.15 [0.88-5.24]	0.093
<b>Gestational Plurality</b>				
Singleton <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Multiple	5.44 [1.02-29.14]	<b>0.048*</b>	4.22 [0.76-23.57]	0.101
<b>Low Birth Weight</b>				
No <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Yes	2.84 [1.13-7.14]	<b>0.026*</b>	2.34 [0.89-6.13]	0.083
<b>Conception mode</b>				
Natural conception <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART)	5.26 [1.29-21.33]	<b>0.020*</b>	4.07 [0.96-17.34]	0.058
<b>Proximity to Gas Flaring Station</b>				
No <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Yes	2.53 [1.23-5.19]	<b>0.012*</b>	2.34 [1.12-4.85]	<b>0.023*</b>
<b>History of Diabetes in Pregnancy</b>				
No <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Yes	11.0 [1.25-96.89]	<b>0.031*</b>	13.71 [1.54-122.02]	<b>0.019*</b>
<b>Use of Pregnacare during Pregnancy</b>				
No <sup>R</sup>	-	-	-	
Yes	3.62 [1.12-11.72]	<b>0.032*</b>	3.60 [1.09-11.86]	<b>0.035*</b>

Notes: R=reference, cOR=crude Odds Ratio, aOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio,

## Discussion

The exact aetiology of CHDs are unknown in most cases but the risk factors could be genetic or environmental.<sup>6,14</sup> The risk factors for CHD identified in this study include proximity to oil and gas flaring locations, maternal diabetes, high birth order and use of antenatal supplements like pregnacare.

Oil spillage and gas flaring which are off shoots of oil exploration have been documented to probably have adverse effects on the inhabitants of Port Harcourt.<sup>15,16</sup> Ordinioha and Brisibe<sup>11</sup> in 2013 estimated that an average of 240,000 barrels of crude oil are spilled on a yearly basis in the Niger Delta and these oils contaminate the ground water, surface water, air and crops with hydrocarbons.<sup>17</sup> These toxic chemicals may cause a genetic mutation in foetuses during the period of organogenesis and lead to various congenital anomalies.<sup>18</sup>

There was a significant relationship between diabetes mellitus in pregnancy and CHD and this was in keeping with other studies.<sup>19,20,21,22</sup> The exact mechanism through which diabetes in pregnancy causes CHD is not known but it has been postulated that maternal hyperglycaemia will lead to foetal hyperglycaemia creating an abnormal biochemical environment that could dysregulate genes responsible for normal fetal development.<sup>9,23</sup>

The finding that CHDs occurs in siblings of higher birth order has been demonstrated in other studies.<sup>9,24</sup> A study carried out by Lei et al<sup>25</sup> in China on the birth prevalence and risk factors of CHD noted that a high maternal parity was associated with increased risk of CHD.<sup>24</sup> The reason for this is not known but it has been suggested that an excessive number of parturitions may lead to reproductive errors.<sup>26</sup>

Maternal ingestion of Pregnacare during pregnancy was found to be associated with CHD and this was similar to findings in Port Harcourt where the possible teratogenic effect of

Pregnacare was mentioned.<sup>15</sup> This claim needs to be further investigated as only 23 of the mothers took Pregnacare. Pregnacare contains betacarotene (a precursor of vitamin A) and several other vitamins. Some precursors of vitamin A can be teratogenic when taken in the first trimester of pregnancy<sup>19,27,28</sup> but the effects of beta carotene on the foetus is not well documented.<sup>29</sup>

Low birth weight babies were more likely to have CHD when compared to normal weight and macrosomic babies but this finding was not statistically significant.

Congenital heart defect was found more among neonates of mothers who conceived via assisted reproductive technology (ART) but this difference was however not statistically significant. The mode of conception was not an independent predictor of CHD probably because most of the mothers that conceived through ART had other issues like advanced maternal age and diabetes melitus. This finding has to be investigated further as only 10 of the mothers conceived via ART. A case-control study done in Port Harcourt in 2014 by Orazulike et al,<sup>30</sup> showed that CHD was significantly higher among neonates whose mothers conceived via ART when compared to those that conceived naturally.

In this study, CHD status was not dependent of gestational age and this is in contrast to other studies that have found CHD to be commoner in preterms.<sup>31,21</sup> A possible explanation for this is that not enough preterms were sampled as only about 7% of the study population was delivered prematurely.

Congenital heart defect was found more among neonates delivered in multiples when compared with babies born singleton this difference was not statistically significant. This is in contrast to other studies that have found CHD to be commoner in multiple gestation pregnancies.<sup>32</sup> This could be because of the small population of neonates of multiple gestation in this study.

It should be noted that the role of herbal concoction was not assessed as a possible contributory factor to CHD. Also maternal smoking did not feature in this study as none of the mothers used tobacco products.

## **Conclusion**

Risk factors for CHD in Port Harcourt include advanced maternal age, diabetes mellitus in pregnancy, use of supplements such as pregnacare in pregnancy and proximity to oil/gas station.

## **ETHICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT**

Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH) before the commencement of the study. Permission was gotten from the Rivers State Health Management Board and the Management of Obio Cottage Hospital. A written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the neonates selected for this study and the information retrieved in this study was kept confidential. All neonates with congenital heart defects were referred for management and long term follow up in the Paediatric Cardiology Unit of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. The cost of the echocardiography was borne by the researcher.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Tagliabue G, Tessandori R, Caramaschi F, Fabiano S, Maghini A TA. Descriptive epidemiology of selected birth defects, areas of Lombardy, Italy, 1999. *Popul Heal Metr.* 2007;5(4).

2. Van Der Linde D, Konings EE, Slager MA, Witsenburg M, Helbing WA TJ et al. Birth prevalence of congenital heart disease worldwide: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58(21):2241–7.
3. Tan KH, Tan TY, Tan J, Tan I, Chew SK YG. Birth defects in Singapore: 1994-2000. *Singapore Med J*. 2005;46(10):545–52.
4. EH A. The Burden of Congenital Heart Disease in Libya. *Libyan J Med*. 2006;1(2):120–2.
5. Jenkins KJ, Correa A, Feinstein J, Botto L, Britt AE DS et al. Risk Factors and Congenital Cardiovascular Defects: Current Knowledge. *Circulation*. 2007;115(23):2995–3014.
6. Pierpont ME, Brueckner M, Chung WK, Garg V, Lacro RV M AL. Genetic Basis for Congenital Heart Disease: Revisited A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;138(21):e653–711.
7. Richards AA G V. Genetics of congenital heart disease. 2010;6(2):91–7.
8. Fahed AC, Gelb BD, Seidman JG SC. Genetics of Congenital Heart Disease The Glass Half Empty. *Circ Res*. 2013;112(4):707–20.
9. Vijayalakshmi IB. A comprehensive approach to congenital heart diseases. Rao PS CR, editor. New Dehli: Jaypee Brothers medical publishers; 2013.
10. Gorini F, Chiappa E, Gargani L PE. Potential effects of environmental chemical contamination in congenital heart disease. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2014;35(4):559–68.
11. Ordinioha B BS. The human health implications of crude oil spills in the Niger delta, Nigeria: An interpretation of published studies. *Niger Med J*. 2013;54(1):10–6.
12. The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. Port Harcourt. Britannica. 2019.
13. Rychik J, Ayres N, Cuneo B, Gotteiner N, Hornberger L SP et al. American society of echocardiography guidelines and standards for performance of the fetal

- echocardiogram. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2004;17(7):803–10.
14. Hassan I, Haleem AA BZ. Profile and risk factors for congenital heart disease. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 1997;47(3):78–81.
  15. Otaigbe BE, Tabansi PN. Congenital heart disease in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria: a four-year prospective echocardiographic analysis. *Cardiovasc J Afr.* 2014;25(6):1–4.
  16. Mkpe A, Olufemi AO, Goddy B, Benjamin MK, Otaigbe BE OP. Prevalence and pattern of birth defects in a tertiary health facility in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria. *Int J Womens Health.* 2017;9:115–21.
  17. Nriagu J, Udofia EA, Ekong I EG. Health Risks Associated with Oil Pollution in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2016;13(3):346.
  18. Abbey M, Oloyede OA, Bassey G, Kejeh BM, Otaigbe BE OP et al. Prevalence and pattern of birth defects in a tertiary health facility in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria. *Int J Womens Heal.* 2017;9:115–121.
  19. Jenkins KJ, Correa A, Feinstein JA, Botto L, Britt AE, Daniels SR, et al. Noninherited risk factors and congenital cardiovascular defects: Current knowledge - A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young. *Circulation.* 2007;115(23):2995–3014.
  20. Bassili A, Mokhtar SA, Dabous NI, Zaher SR, Mokhtar MM ZA. Risk factors for congenital heart diseases in Alexandria, Egypt. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2000;16(9):805–14.
  21. Naghavi-Behzad M, Alizadeh M, Azami S, Foroughifar S, Ghasempour-Dabbaghi K, Karzad N, et al. Risk Factors of Congenital Heart Diseases: A Case-Control Study in Northwest Iran. *J Cardiovasc Thorac Res.* 2013;5(1):5–9.
  22. Narchi H KN. Heart disease in infants of diabetic mothers. *Images pediatri cardiol.* 2000;2(2):17–23.

23. Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, St Geme III JW, Schor NF BR. Nelson textbook of pediatrics. 20th ed. Elsevier, editor. Philadelphia; 2015.
24. Pei L, Kang Y, Zhao Y HY. Prevalence and risk factors of congenital heart defects among live births: a population-based cross-sectional survey in Shaanxi province, Northwestern China. *BMC Pediatr.* 2017;17(1):18.
25. Brodwall K, Greve G, Leirgul E, Tell GS, Vollset SE ØN. Recurrence of congenital heart defects among siblings-a nationwide study. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2017;173(6):1575–85.
26. Luo YL, Cheng YL, Gao XH, Tan SQ, Li JM WW. Maternal Age, Parity and Isolated Birth Defects: A Population-Based Case-Control Study in Shenzhen, China. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11):e81369.
27. Botto LD, Loffredo C, Scanlon KS, Ferencz C, Khoury MJ DW et al. Vitamin A and cardiac outflow tract defects. *Epidemiology.* 2001;12(5):491–6.
28. Rothman KJ, Moore LL, Singer MR, Nguyen US, Mannino S MA. Teratogenicity of Vitamin A Intake. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;333(21):1369–73.
29. M M. Vitamin A and its congeners. *Sci Direct.* 1997;21(2):135–42.
30. Otaigbe BE, Orazulike N TP. Congenital heart disease after in vitro fertilization: A report of four cases. *Cardiovasc J Afr.* 2013;24(5):26.
31. Lindinger A, Schwedler G HH. Prevalence of congenital heart defects in newborns in Germany: Results of the first registration year of the PAN study (July 2006 to June 2007). *Klin Padiatr.* 2010;222(5):321–6.
32. Reefhuis J HM. Maternal Age and Non-Chromosomal Birth Defects, Atlanta—1968–2000: teenager or thirty-Something, Who is at Risk? *Clin Mol Teratol.* 2004;70(9):572–9.
33. Obuotor TM, Adeyanju FO, Kolawole AO, Idowu GP, Oluwamuyiwa FA, Afolabi FO. In

vitro and in silico antibacterial activities of *Syzygium aromaticum* essential oil against bacteria associated with otitis media in children. African Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2023 Apr 2;17(1):27-44.