

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_107284
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF GENETIC VARIABILITY IN MAIZE (Zea mays L.) BASED ON YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTED TRAITS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable for the article.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive but need to improve</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure is appropriate.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Reference is not sufficient more reference may be sited</p> <p>a. Introduction is too long. Author has more scope to improve the result and discussion section.</p> <p>b. More reference may be sited more justification is needed to establishment the recommendation.</p> <p>c. Material and methods are not satisfactory. Protocol is not mentioned as indicated by yellow marking.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is communicable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Minor revision is necessary specifically in introduction and in material and method.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dharmeswar Barman
Department, University & Country	Goalpara College, Gauhati University, India