

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_104788
Title of the Manuscript:	Properties and Analysis of a Second Order Differential Operator with Normality and Orthogonality
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is highly significant for scientific community, shedding light on the normality and eigenvalue problems of second order differential operators. These finding hold potential for influencing diverse disciplines and fostering further research and applications.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, because the relationship between null spaces and higher powers adds depth. It effectively conveys the paper's scope and contributions.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The present manuscript is divided into different sections that cover topics such as: Introduction, background, main proposition, proofs and conclusions.</p> <p>5. Based on the provided information in manuscript, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The provided list of references seems appropriate for the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the manuscript appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. But it is recommended to have the manuscript reviewed by a native English speaker to ensure that the language is polished and conforms to the standards of scholar writing in your field.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Mishra
Department, University & Country	Tula's Institute, India