

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_106506
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Ulcer-healing potential of Chromolaena odorata (Independent plant) in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Authors pay attention to highlights and comments in the text.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is but the results are not well discussed and interpreted. It is short and concise enough. Yes, to some extent Yes, but authors must move tables. Yes, it can be. They are not as recent as they must be. Replace some old references with new ones. <p>Avoid redundancy. Check text grammatically. Check spaces between words, and omit unwanted blank lines. Add some details in method section. Table 4 is missing although it is in the text. Tables are in the material part before the result section, move it to the right place next to related text. There is no discussion of why researchers obtained such results. Please discuss every result you get, the reason, and scientific interpretation. Expand conclusion section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, there are many grammar, spelling, and other writing mistakes that must be checked with a native.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faraneh Zareiyan
Department, University & Country	Fars Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Centre, Iran