

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105768</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Growth, Yield and Quality of Linseed (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is extremely important for scientific community as it detailed the significant contribution of judicious use of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Growth, Yield and Quality of Linseed Crop</li> <li>2. Assessment of effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus fertilization module on Growth, Yield and Quality of Linseed (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.) would have been suitable</li> <li>3. Yes the abstract of the article have meticulously represented the essence of the manuscript.</li> <li>4. Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but if possible acknowledgement should be added.</li> <li>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>6. <b>The study area map required/GPS location of the experiment field should be provided</b></li> <li>7. <b>Analysis of soil samples should have been conducted for soil fertility before sowing</b></li> <li>8. Add some more discussion for each parameters</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but can be improved by adding more scientific reasons in the result &amp; discussion part of the manuscript.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The paper is too short. Important parameter like Days to 50% Flowering, seed germination percentage &amp; vigour index could have added to improve overall quality of the manuscript</li> <li>• As the data provided for only one year i.e. Rabi 2022-23, this paper should be accepted short note or short communication</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niroj Kumar Jena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odisha University of Agriculture &amp; Technology, India</b>