

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107251
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of seed storage and priming on naturally aged seeds of aromatic Joha rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results, and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table. Correct the titles, all in upper or lower case. Additionally, they must all be justified or left-aligned.</p> <p>5.- Separation of titles with text and text with tables. The tables must be in the same section. Table 5 is after the references.</p> <p>6.- It is advisable that the references are not older than 8-10 years. Except for one or two if their use is extremely important. It is suggested to make a bibliographic review of the studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in the text. Some more references could be advised. Links to web pages cannot be directed to the main page. The exact link where the reference is made must be indicated. Correct the first reference. mismatched text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- It would be interesting to give some more data in the conclusion, more information about the result obtained. Explain a little more the good results obtained.</p> <p>- As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain