

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106334</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Confectionery groundnut genotypes (Arachis hypogaea L.): A study on genetic variability parameters with special reference to confectionery traits improvement</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes the article is somehow important for scientific community</b></p> <p>2. <b>Title is appropriate but conclusion par is little bit mismatched with the title</b></p> <p>3. <b>In the abstract concluded part is not a justification for the community in terms of demand in daily life.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes almost appropriate</b></p> <p>5. <b>The approach is good but display of contents in the manuscript is not properly addressed</b></p> <p>6. <b>It is more good if the latest at least one reference of the year 2023 is coated in the results or discussion part in terms of agreed or disagreed evidence</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	GOOD AND SOMEHOW SUITABLE	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	MAY BE ACCEPTED AFTER THE APPROPRIATE REVISION	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Allah Bakhsh Gulshan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ghazi University, Pakistan</b>