

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_106098
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of phosphogypsum and organic amendments on rice growth in a saline environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript shows relevant data for the development of the area.</p> <p>Observations</p> <p>(i) Word correction</p> <p>Page 1 1. INTRODUCTION Line 4</p> <p>particularly those in the Casamance river basins (Tamba et Faye, 2011). In this area, salinization is the result, on the one</p> <p>particularly those in the Casamance river basins (Tamba and Faye, 2011). In this area, salinization is the result, on the one</p> <p>Page 2 Line 8</p> <p>use of phosphogypsum as an input resulted in an increase in rice yields of over 50% (INP et Assolucer, 2009). It would</p> <p>use of phosphogypsum as an input resulted in an increase in rice yields of over 50% (INP and Assolucer, 2009). It would</p> <p>Page 2 Line 6</p> <p>formation and the soil's water retention capacity (Diop 1 et al., 2019). Mulaji (2011) has also shown that organic</p> <p>formation and the soil's water retention capacity (Diop et al., 2019). Mulaji (2011) has also shown that organic</p> <p>(ii) The authors mix two styles of citing bibliography (APA, NLM)</p> <p>Page 3 2.4 Conduction of the experimentation Line 5</p> <p>every two days to avoid osmotic shock. Initially, a concentration of 25 mM corresponding to 1.46 g/l was used [9]. This</p> <p>(iii) There are two bibliographical citations cited in the body of the manuscript that are not in the item references.</p> <p>Page 1 1. INTRODUCTION Line 8</p> <p>increasing crop degradation (Aidara et al., 2020). Several strategies have been put forward to improve crop development</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Page 2 Line 4 and carry them away with rainwater (Ngom, 1999). Organic amendments help to maintain fertility and enrich the soil by</p> <p>(iv) References</p> <p>(a) Bibliographic citations 4, 7 and 18 are not cited in the body of the manuscript.</p> <p>(b) The authors do not respect the APA rules for citing bibliographical references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina B. Colloca
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Desarrollo Económico e Innovación, Universidad Nacional de Tierra del Fuego, Argentina