

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_106816
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the effects of aluminium application and Rhizobia inoculation on growth, yield and nutrients uptake of three Kenyan soy bean genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes the manuscript present a good idea for scientific community 2. The title reflect the study. 3. The The abstract must be more clarified and results information must be added. 4. No, the introduction is so long and not correctly coordinate; the discussion also must be rewritten. 5. The ideas are correct, but the presentation must be improved. 6. The references are recent. 7. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is correct	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The introduction must be rewritten, the ideas are not correctly in order. The hypothesis and objective of this study are not clearly defined. The discussion must be re-written, there so unnessens information. The conclusion is so long must be reduced	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bencherif Karima
Department, University & Country	University of Djelfa, Algeria