

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_107591
Title of the Manuscript:	A Thailand-based Comparative Study of Government and Private School Teachers' Perceptions of School Heads' Level of Instructional Leadership
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The title and the abstract text are correct justifying the study presented in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. The text presented an inadequate organized structure, beginning in the Introduction item, as it presents 6pgs that can be subdivide in several subheadings, in order to bring more clarity to the main educational problem and a better support in the background analyses.</p> <p>3. Several type of teaching aspects were considered. The survey questions was well performed.</p> <p>4. Item "Methodology" is presented using a single paragraph and then in item "results" just a sequence of tables is presented. Both items must be introduced, justified and analysed in a scientific perspective.</p> <p>5. As so, the study presents a great value but its presentation need a deep revision. The author must put a great effort to present a scientific text showing better the interesting comparative analyses that was done.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Interesting study but a scientific presentation of the work is mandatory to be done.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alcinia Zita Sampaio
Department, University & Country	University of Lisbon, Portugal