

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_106944
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS ON ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN AWKA SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a relevant and pressing issue - the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in public secondary schools. This topic is particularly significant given the increasing importance of entrepreneurial skills in today's rapidly evolving job market. The findings of this study have the potential to contribute valuable insights that can inform educational policies and practices, benefiting both educators and students. 2. The title of the article, "Evaluation of the Perception of Teachers on Entrepreneurship Education in Public Secondary Schools in Awka South Local Government Area," is appropriate and accurately reflects the focus of the research. It clearly indicates the subject matter, the target population (teachers), and the specific geographical area of study. This title effectively communicates the scope and purpose of the research. 3. The abstract provides a concise summary of the study's objectives, methods, and key findings. It outlines the research's focus on teachers' perceptions of entrepreneurship education in public. The abstract mentions the survey design, sample size, data collection instrument, and key results regarding teachers' views on entrepreneurship education. However, it could be improved by including a brief mention of the recommendations made based on the findings. This would give readers a sense of the practical implications of the study. Additionally, providing a statement about the broader significance of the research within the field of education or entrepreneurship education would enhance the comprehensiveness of the abstract. 4. They are but pretty basic structure. 5. The manuscript follows basic scientific research standards, I have left comments where necessary on the main manuscript, The Author should improve the manuscript based on the comments. 6. The references are not sufficient and not enough to back up the theoretical foundation of this study. The references have a few recent studies but absolutely not enough for the study. <p>Is there a research question 3?</p> <p>This subject area is a very interesting and recently researched subject area, so a robust literature review and theoretical background would improve the paper vastly.</p> <p>The author should state why only 120 teachers sample size were selected out of the 641-population size of the study</p> <p>Clearly restructure all sections for a more understandable reading experience.</p> <p>I recommend some inferential statistical analysis for this research for check for relationships and causality.</p> <p>More references should be added to the paper. If not, clearly statement why the references used for the study is few.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, somewhat	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enyiazu Franca Adanne
Department, University & Country	Southwest University, China