

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_107335
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR ADVANCEMENTS IN SOYBEAN SEED QUALITY AND DIVERSITY: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. In order to enhance the production and quality of food crops genetic improvements of seed has been focused which results in enhancement of seed and crop yield,. Therefore, this study is important for the scientific community who are thriving to achieve the targets related to augmentation of food crops.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive and written well.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and having value to the relevant community.</p> <p>6. Limited reference are provided therefore, it is suggested to provide more (latest within last 5 years) references covering the area of research addressed in the present manuscript. It should be interesting to show the criteria followed for the research. Better to add prospects for future research at the end. It is advised to spell out the abbreviations used in the paper at the first appearance in the manuscript or provide a list of abbreviations in the beginning. Manuscript is well written however, authors need to do above corrections: before acceptance of paper.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Manuscript should be corrected for English grammar and language. Preferably by taking help from a native speaker.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia