

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_106703
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SOME HEMOSTATIC CHANGES IN PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING FMC KEFFI, NASARAWA STATE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>9 references. None of the last 5 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Why "Lymphocytes " written as key word</p> <p>2. Material and Methods are incomplete. How was the sample size calculated?</p> <p>3. Using equal sample size gives the best results, so the study cannot be generalised because of the decrease number of control sample size.</p> <p>4. How the controls were selected, no information provided. If the controls selected for the purpose of comparison with pregnant, which test was applied in SPSS</p> <p>5. Was SPSS used only to find p value?</p> <p>6. SPSS derived tables are missing</p> <p>7. Discussion needs to be written again, as it is not correlated, e.g. it says that in "Data recorded on the table 5 shows that in the first, second and third trimesters mean PTTK was found to slightly increase from 27.00±3.42 to 30.00±4.19 which was statistically significant" however table 5 displays INR Stats</p> <p>8. Chandra et al., (2012), This reference is not in reference list and references are less in number</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Aslam Shah
Department, University & Country	Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Rawal Institute of Health Sciences, Pakistan