

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_107111
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical characteristics and the effects of processing methods on nutritional and anti-nutritional quality of soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is very interesting comprehensive research and would serve as a baseline for further studies on the effects of processing methods, on the nutritional and anti-nutritional quality of foods. I would highly appreciate the attempt of the authors, in the expansion of the scientific knowledge of the community - in food processing.</p> <p>I made a minor correction in the title (mentioned in the manuscript, itself).</p> <p>Yes, it meets the standards. However, I mentioned some suggestions, according to my point of view.</p> <p>Yes, well satisfactory</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I recommend adding more updated references from the past 5 years -2018-2023 (if possible), rather than using too far references.</p> <p>During my reviewing process, I found a few points to be changed for a better outcome. So, I included them as comments and some of the places I marked them in the manuscript itself. According to my point of view, If authors could make the changes accordingly, a better version of this manuscript would be resulted. Anyhow I wish them all the best and good luck.....</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-----</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.W.N.K. Perera
Department, University & Country	University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka