

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_106599
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the level of contamination of groundwater by glyphosate, aminomethylphosphonic acid, glufosinate and trace metal elements and health risks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The title needs to be modified to represent the study.</p> <p>The references should all be more recent and are not enough.</p> <p>1- table need statistical treatment 2- English grammar is still very poor. The manuscript should undergo a thorough language revision before resubmission (There are some grammatical and written errors).</p> <p>3- Please explain and more detail about elements you chosen 4- You should compare your results to those of earlier studies. 5- Why chosen these elements 6- suggest future studies in this area 7- : Discussion However, I think discussion should be more focused on linking of earlier studies with experimental finding. 8- The discussion should be linked to earlier research instead of being unrelated to the findings. 9- The abstract did not represent the study and did not adhere to scientific standards of writing or fluency. 10- The title should be changed to better reflect the study. 11- Rewrite parts (results and discussion) The discussion is too brief.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanaa Sayed Mohamed Abd El-Rahman
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Center, Egypt