

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_106978</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Study on the Impact of Sulphur Nanoparticles on the Growth and Yield of Groundnut-Mustard Crop Sequence in Central Gujarat</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>4. <b>Yes, needs minor changes</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, after revision of minor changes and corrections.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Yes, the work is supported by relevant and efficient references</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction section is too longer, make it one or one and half a page.</li> <li>2. Mentioned the research area and year of the research in the abstract.</li> <li>3. Remove extra spaces throughout the manuscript. Don't proceed to next line by space use (add space after paragraph) command.</li> <li>4. Spell check needed before the final draft submission.</li> <li>5. The parameters like periodical plant height, periodical branches per plant etc needs to be added the procedure for how it recorded.</li> <li>6. Revise the conclusion</li> </ol> <p>Check once for Grammarly before the final draft. The research area and duration is not mentioned, which should be added to abstract part. The keywords to be arranged alphabetical order. Introduction is not yet reduced, as I already mentioned in the first review too.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Accept the paper after completing the minor changes.</p> <p>The author should revise the manuscript for these minor corrections before acceptance.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Farhan Ahmad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia</b>