

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_105620
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Assessment of some Nigerian Branded Honey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- This article presents a physicochemical study of 3 honey samples from the southwest region of Nigeria. the palynological study reveals the quality of honey in this African country. I find this study interesting for scientific research, especially in the quality of honey in Africa.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is relatively appropriate. (it's even better if you add south-west orientation to the title)</p> <p>3- The summary of this manuscript is comprehensive, giving the results obtained, the species determined and the quality of the 3 samples studied.</p> <p>4- The sub-sections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate except for a few typing errors which I have underlined in yellow colour in the manuscript.</p> <p>5- I think the manuscript is scientifically correct in terms of the results obtained, which may facilitate the consumption of bee honey.</p> <p>6- The references are insufficient and old. the most recent reference you use is in 2017. you need to add recent references in 2023, 2022, 2021 2020 .... especially the "discussion" part.</p> <p>7- -Move tables 1, 2 and 3 with figure 1 to the "results" section (these are the results of the 3 samples studied in the western part of Nigeria).</p> <p>8- - Add a scale to figure 1 (photos of palynological species)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language and English of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication, except for a few errors that I have highlighted in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>the "discussion" section is not detailed; this section needs to be detailed and any errors corrected in the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>El Attmani Mohammed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco</b>